1980 - 1984 1985 - 1989 1990 - 1994 1995 - 1999 2000 2001 2002 2003 2004 2005 2006 2007 2008 2009 **EMF*** and Health: "[...] no established health "Overall, [...] research[...] "[...] the scientific research,for adult brain tumours "Reviews of all the research "With reference to radio "It is concluded from three ... exposures to RF ra-"Based on voluminous "...no substantiated evidiation below NRPB and findings [...], exposure [...] effects from EMF expodoes not give cause for when taken together, does have not found clear, confrequency, available data independent lines of The Growing Body of Scientific Evidence dence that exposure to there is no association guideline [...] has been ICNIRP guidelines do not sures below the internalow level RF EME causes concern and [...] does not with mobile phone use evidence (epidemiological, not indicate a significant sistent evidence of any do not show any excess cause adverse health efdeveloped with a safety tional guidelines limits." 1 adverse health effects."1 suggest that there are adassociation between cell for at least up to, say, ten adverse effects."1 risk of brain cancer and animal and in vitro stud-* EMF = Electromagnetic Field. verse health effects from fects..."1 margin enough to prophone use and health efyears of use. For longer ies) that exposure to RF other neoplasms associat-"...levels of power used in "...no evidence that mobile tect human health from exposures to RF fields fects."1 latency the majority of ed with the use of mobile fields is unlikely to lead A field representing the joint interplay of electric and mag-"...exposure to RF fields, mobile telephony, [...] is phones bring hazards to Mobile Manufacturers netic forces with frequency o to 300 GHz. Radio signals are adverse effects of radio below guideline levels" to an increase in cancer in the evidence also speaks phones."1 not 'genotoxic'"2 "The scientific studies such as those emitted by health when used under one form of EMF. waves."1 against an association, but humans...."1 mobile phones and their '... WHO concluded that examined in the risk normal operating condi-"Over the past 15 years [...] the data are still sparse. "The scientific evidence base stations, is unlikely current evidence does not tions.[...] Despite denselyhundreds of studies lookdialogue do not support [...] exposure from transdoes not show a danger to to induce or promote confirm the existence of suspicions that mobile ing at the biological efpacked transmitters on mitters is unlikely to be a users of wireless phones, cancers [...] reported other any health consequences fects of the radiofrequency telephony has harmful efsome rooftops in residenhealth risk." 1 including children and effects of using mobile [...] from exposure to low level fects on health." 2 tial areas [...], the buildings energy emitted by cell teenagers."3 electromagnetic fields."2 have no apparent health are absolutely safe to live phones[...]. The majority "... provided mobile phones "... insufficient contempoof studies published have significance." "None of the do not exceed the limits "[...] no reason to recomrary proof with regard to of recognised standards, failed to show an associarecent reviews have conmend limiting the use of increased cancer risk to "... no adverse short or cluded that exposure to there will be no harmful eftion between exposure to mobile phones by chilchange mobile phoning long-term health effects the RF fields from mobile fects. [...] no substantiated radiofrequency from a cell dren." 3 habits."3 have been found"3 phones or their base staevidence that there are phone and health probadverse health effects relems."2 tions cause any adverse "... present guidelines prohealth consequence."2 sulting from the emissions vide adequate protection "There is insufficient eviof mobile phone towers or against any adverse effect dence to indicate a causal base stations." 2 established so far."4 association between cell "... no firm evidence to date phone use and intracranial that RF emissions from cell tumours."3 phones cause ill health."3 "The overall conclusion is that exposure from transmitters is unlikely to be a health risk."4

2000 **U.K. Independent Expert Group on Mobile Phones and Health, Sciences Authority, person, in Education, Poors, and Telecommunications (MPHPT) (2000), interim Report by Committee to Pyomotic Research on the Possible Biological Effects of Electromagemetic Fields (30 January 2001), www.wi.egm.go.pg/johor to sunivers from the Possible Biological Effects of Electromagemetic Fields (30 January 2002), www.wi.egm.go.pg/johor to sunivers from the Possible Biological Effects of Electromagemetic Fields (30 January 2002), www.wi.egm.go.pg/johor to sunivers from the Possible Biological Effects of Electromagemetic Fields (30 January 2002), www.wi.egm.go.pg/johor to sunivers from the Possible Biological Effects of Electromagemetic Fields (30 January 2002), www.wi.egm.go.pg/johor to sunivers from the Possible Biological Effects of Electromagemetic Fields (2003), and The Evers from the Possible Biological Effects of Electromagemetic Fields (30 January 2003), www.wi.egm.go.pg/johor the Evers from the Possible Biological Effects of Electromagemetic Fields (2003), and The Evers from the Evers from the Possible Biological Effects of Electromagemetic Fields (2003), and The Evers from the Evers from the Possible Biological Effects of Electromagemetic Fields (2003), and The Evers from the Evers

090616_emf_statements.indd 1