

## HAWAII

- **Hawai'i County Council HI** passed a Resolution to halt 5G

## NEW HAMPSHIRE

- **Keene NH** Resolution to halt 5G
- **Bedford NH** 750 ft. setback

## MASSACHUSETTS

**Randolph MA** 500 ft setback. Yearly RFR measurements.  
**Lunenburg and Great Barrington MA** 500 ft setback  
**Stockbridge MA** prohibits towers 1000 feet from schools, park or athletic fields and 600 ft from homes.

## WISCONSIN

- **Greendale WI** passed Resolution R2018-20 referring to the FCC's actions stripping local authority as "an unprecedented attack on local control."

## New York

- **Copake NY**: Pre/post testing by RF engineer. No repeater closer than 200 ft to dwelling unit. No tower closer than 1500 ft to residence/church.

## MAINE

- **Bar Harbor ME** 1,500 feet setback - cell towers near schools & daycare

## CALIFORNIA

Numerous CA cities restrict cell antennas near homes with setbacks and strict ordinances including: **Los Altos, Petaluma, Mill Valley, Malibu, Santa Barbara, Nevada City, Suisin, Calabasas, San Clemente, Westlake, Sonoma, Sebastopol, San Rafael, Ross Valley, Encinitas, Fairfax, Palo Alto, Walnut City** and **San Diego County**.

As an example of CA ordinances, the **Los Altos City ordinance**:

- prohibits installation of small cells on public utility easements in residential
- 500 foot setbacks for multi-family residences in commercial
- 500 ft school setback
- 1500 ft separation between nodes

## San Diego County

- "SCWs shall not be located within 1,000 feet of schools, child care centers, hospitals, or churches."

## Palo Alto


- 1500 feet school setback.

## INDIANA

- **Carmel City IN** Council resolution asks state lawmakers, FCC and Congress to limit 5G until health effects fully understood.

## ILLINOIS

- **Oak Brook IL** Resolution 2020-ITGL-R-1891 calls for local control re small cels.



## OHIO

- **Mason OH** Zoning Ordinance No small cells in residential areas or within 100 feet of residential prop; 2000 feet apart (unless colocated); equipment should be underground or wholly contained so not visible

## TENNESSEE

- **Farragut City** Resolution to halt 5G

## OKLAHOMA

- **Sallisaw OK** 1,500 feet setback to residence.

## FLORIDA

- **Coconut Creek FL** Commission adopted a Resolution on 5G and radiofrequency radiation.
- **Hallandale Beach FL** Resolution urges federal government to initiate independent health studies on 5G.
- **Lavallette FL** Resolution 2021-58: Applicant shall obtain certification from the Federal Aviation Administration and the United States Dept. of Defense demonstrating that the installation does not emit RF frequencies which may interfere with avionics of any approaching civil or military aircraft." The City also requires the applicant to provide RF meters used by their technicians and train City employees. Verizon cannot install more than a total of 20 "small cell" nodes throughout the Borough to support 5G.

## CONNECTICUT

- **Easton CN** City Council passed a 5G cease and desist resolution
- **Warren, Connecticut** Policy defines "adequate coverage" and "adequate capacity." and was designed "to locate towers and/or antennas in a manner which protects property values, as well as the general safety, health, welfare and quality of life of the citizens." Coverage is considered to be "adequate" within that area surrounding a Base Station where the predicted or measured median field strength of the transmitted signal is such that the majority of the time, transceivers properly installed and operated will be able to communicate with the base station.

## NEW JERSEY

- **Little Silver, NJ** Carriers should provide notice to property owners within 500 feet of proposed facility.
- **City of Jersey City, NJ** Resolution 20-362 calls for local controls re small cells.