# ARTICLE IN PRESS

Environmental Research xxx (xxxx) xxx-xxx



Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

### **Environmental Research**



journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/envres

# Report of final results regarding brain and heart tumors in Sprague-Dawley rats exposed from prenatal life until natural death to mobile phone radiofrequency field representative of a 1.8 GHz GSM base station environmental emission

L. Falcioni, L. Bua, E. Tibaldi, M. Lauriola, L. De Angelis, F. Gnudi, D. Mandrioli, M. Manservigi, F. Manservisi, I. Manzoli, I. Menghetti, R. Montella, S. Panzacchi, D. Sgargi, V. Strollo, A. Vornoli, F. Belpoggi<sup>\*</sup>

Cesare Maltoni Cancer Research Center, Ramazzini Institute, Castello di Bentivoglio, via Saliceto 3, Bentivoglio, 40010 Bologna, Italy

#### ARTICLE INFO

Keywords: RF Sprague-Dawley rats Life-span bioassay Mobile phone Carcinogenicity

### ABSTRACT

*Background:* In 2011, IARC classified radiofrequency radiation (RFR) as possible human carcinogen (Group 2B). According to IARC, animals studies, as well as epidemiological ones, showed limited evidence of carcinogenicity. In 2016, the NTP published the first results of its long-term bioassays on near field RFR, reporting increased incidence of malignant glial tumors of the brain and heart Schwannoma in rats exposed to GSM – and CDMA – modulated cell phone RFR. The tumors observed in the NTP study are of the type similar to the ones observed in some epidemiological studies of cell phone users. *Objectives:* The Ramazzini Institute (RI) performed a life-span carcinogenic study on Sprague-Dawley rats to

Objectives: The Ramazzini institute (RI) performed a infe-span carcinogenic study on Sprague-Dawley rats to evaluate the carcinogenic effects of RFR in the situation of far field, reproducing the environmental exposure to RFR generated by 1.8 GHz GSM antenna of the radio base stations of mobile phone. This is the largest long-term study ever performed in rats on the health effects of RFR, including 2448 animals. In this article, we reported the final results regarding brain and heart tumors.

*Methods*: Male and female Sprague-Dawley rats were exposed from prenatal life until natural death to a 1.8 GHz GSM far field of 0, 5, 25, 50 V/m with a whole-body exposure for 19 h/day.

*Results:* A statistically significant increase in the incidence of heart Schwannomas was observed in treated male rats at the highest dose (50 V/m). Furthermore, an increase in the incidence of heart Schwann cells hyperplasia was observed in treated male and female rats at the highest dose (50 V/m), although this was not statistically significant. An increase in the incidence of malignant glial tumors was observed in treated female rats at the highest dose (50 V/m), although not statistically significant.

*Conclusions*: The RI findings on far field exposure to RFR are consistent with and reinforce the results of the NTP study on near field exposure, as both reported an increase in the incidence of tumors of the brain and heart in RFR-exposed Sprague-Dawley rats. These tumors are of the same histotype of those observed in some epidemiological studies on cell phone users. These experimental studies provide sufficient evidence to call for the reevaluation of IARC conclusions regarding the carcinogenic potential of RFR in humans.

#### 1. Introduction

Early warnings on the potential carcinogenic risks of mobile phone radiofrequency radiation (RFR) raised in the early 2000 when, for the first time, it was published that people using mobile phones had a significant increased risk to develop vestibular Schwannoma and brain tumors (Hardell et al., 2003, 2002). In 2011, the International Agency for Research on Cancer (IARC) classified RFR as possible human carcinogen (Group 2B) based on limited evidence both in humans and experimental animals (Baan et al., 2011; IARC, 2013). Two epidemiological case-control studies resulted more informative for the IARC evaluation, showing that the risk to develop brain tumors and vestibular Schwannoma was increased in people with the highest cumulative use of mobile phones, in people who had used mobile phones on the

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envres.2018.01.037

<sup>\*</sup> Corresponding author. E-mail address: belpoggif@ramazzini.it (F. Belpoggi).

Received 9 October 2017; Received in revised form 19 December 2017; Accepted 23 January 2018 0013-9351/@2018 Elsevier Inc. All rights reserved.