

exposure limits and bone marrow in children's skulls absorb 10-fold greater radiation than adult's marrow.

- **ADVERSE HEALTH EFFECTS:** The CTIA selectively reviews the science, more often than not, incorrectly, while myriad studies published after the adoption of the current FCC exposure limits which show adverse health effects, particularly cancers contradicting CTIA's assertions.
- **ANIMAL STUDIES:** CTIA assertions implying that evidence from animal studies is contradicted by listing of animal studies that found adverse effects and was used by IARC for its declaration of a "possible carcinogen."

Table of Contents

1. Executive Summary	Page 2
2. Table of Contents	Page 3
3. Introduction	Page 5
4. The History of Exposure Standards	Page 5
a. ANSI 1974	Page 6
b. ANSI 1982	Page 7
c. NCPR 1986	Page 10
d. IEEE 1991	Page 12
e. ANSI/IEEE 1992	Page 14
f. FCC Bulletin 65 1997 and Supplement C 2001	Page 16
g. ICNIRP 1998	Page 19
h. IEEE 2005	Page 22
5. IARC's Possible Carcinogen Finding	Page 25
a. CTIA's distortion of IARC's finding	Page 26
b. CTIA's dismissal of IARC's process for determination of Class 2B possible carcinogen	Page 26
6. ICNIRP "Harmonization"	Page 27
a. Up to 3-Fold Increase in Exposure Limits	Page 28
b. Exposure Limit Change for Children and Fetuses	Page 29
7. Fifty-fold safety limit is specious	Page 29
a. Five-fold factor for general public is non-existent	Page 30
b. Ten-fold factor is a 2.5-fold factor from irreversible damage	Page 30
8. FCC's Two Cellphone Certification Processes	Page 30
a. SAM process	Page 30
b. Computer simulation process	Page 31
c. Details of SAM Cellphone Certification Process	Page 32
d. Details of FDTD Computer Simulation Cellphone Certification Process	Page 33

e. What the science has found since the adoption of the FCC limits in 1996	Page 34
f. The Averaged Tissue Volume Is a Major Factor in Determination of SAR	Page 36
g. Comparison of the SAM and FDTD Computer Simulation Processes	Page 37
h. Methodology Problems with the FCC Cellphone Certification Process	Page 40
8. Credibility of Sources	Page 42
a. Organizations	Page 42
b. Individuals	Page 47
9. The Stability of Brain Cancer Incidence Rates?	Page 59
10. Normal Operation Positions	Page 62
11. Science Studies Reporting Adverse Health Effects	Page 64
a. Epidemiology—Risks to Children	Page 64
b. Epidemiology—Risk to Adults	Page 66
c. Studies of risk to male fertility	Page 73
12. IARC Monograph 102	Page 75
a. Animal studies	Page 75
13. Conclusions	Page 76
14. Appendix, List of Possible Carcinogens	Page 80