Best Practices with Children and Wireless Radiation  
a Review of Science and Global Advisories  

"Even though the radio frequencies that are emitted from current cell phone technologies are very weak they are able to activate the human brain."
-Nora D. Volkow, M.D.,  
Director of the National Institute on Drug Abuse at the National Institutes of Health

“Three years ago the World Health Organization declared cellphone and other wireless radiation to be a possible human carcinogen, the same category as some pesticides, lead and engine exhausts. Since then evidence has mounted that such radiation can profoundly affect human biology, altering brain metabolism, damaging animals exposed during pregnancy and reducing sperm count. Before blanketing our preschools, kindergartens and middle schools with wireless radiation we need a full life-cycle assessment of economic and health costs and benefits of wireless technology.”
- Devra Davis PhD MPH, President of the Environmental Health Trust, in her Open Letter to President Obama April 2014

Click on blue lettering to access online resources and research
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Children’s Unique Vulnerabilities to Wireless Radiation

The image above demonstrates the increased absorption of wireless radiation within the brains of children compared to adults. WiFi radiation has never been evaluated for its long term impact on the developing brain. Recent research is linking wireless with serious potential health effects.

“Children, however, are not little adults and are disproportionately impacted by all environmental exposures, including cell phone radiation. In fact, according to the International Agency for the Research on Cancer, when used by children, the average RF (radiofrequency) energy deposition is two times higher in the brain and 10 times higher in the bone marrow of the skull, compared with mobile phone use by adults…it is essential that any new standard for cell phones or other wireless devices be based on protecting the youngest and most vulnerable populations to ensure they are safeguarded throughout their lifetimes.”

Why are Children and the Developing Fetus More Vulnerable?

- Children have smaller heads with a shorter distance to brain centers.
- Children’s skulls and ears are thinner allowing radiation to penetrate further.
- Children’s brains contain more fluid and absorb more microwave radiation.

“Because the World Health Organization considers wireless radiation a possible human carcinogen, wireless radiation does not belong in schools with young children”
- Anthony B. Miller MD PhD, expert advisor to WHO, Professor Emeritus University of Toronto in The C4ST Women’s College Hospital Symposium 9/12/14

Environmental exposures at critical periods has profound effects on fetal and childhood development.

- Children’s nervous systems and immune systems are developing at a rapid rate.
- Brains are maturing through the early twenties.
- Children have more active stem cells which are shown to be more impacted by microwave radiation.

"As a research scientist and physician who studies how microwave radiation affects the outcomes of pregnancy, I am deeply concerned about growing exposures to cell phone and other wireless radiation."
- Prof. Hugh Taylor, MD, PhD, Chief of Obstetrics/Gynecology at Yale University Medical School, 2013.
Health Risks

Wi-Fi is low level microwave radiation. Many published studies over the past 20 years have shown an array of serious biological effects from low level microwaves. DNA damage and disruption of the blood brain barrier (BBB) have occurred at levels hundreds of times lower than US exposure limits. In this section we provide an overview of some health issues related to wireless radiation.

Selections of research on each health issue are detailed at the end of this document.

**Reproductive Impacts:** Consistent evidence from experimental research, epidemiological studies and in vitro (cells) laboratory, and in vivo (animal) studies shows that RF exposure is associated with reduced sperm count, motility and concentration, as well as DNA damage and altered cell structure. Some research also shows damage to the ovaries of rats and mice and changes in the eggs of flies and birds.

“The epidemiological studies of men assessed for infertility were consistent in demonstrating decreased sperm motility associated with increased use of mobile phones” and “biological effects on sperm motility related to RF exposure”. - The BC Center for Disease Control 2013 Report, A Radiofrequency Toolkit for Environmental Health Practitioners.

**Cancer:** Research showing that long term heavy cell phone users have an increased risk of brain cancer lead to the WHO IARC classification of this radiation as a Class 2 B carcinogen: a “possible human carcinogen”. A study showed that adults who started using cell or cordless phones as teenagers had a 8-fold higher risk of brain cancer on the side of their head where they held the phone. To date, the only published study of children's' risk of brain cancer from cell phone use found more than a 2-fold risk after >2.8 years of use (from billing records), combined with a statistically significant trend of increasing risk with increasing year of use.

**Hearing:** Research shows chronic mobile phone usage results in high frequency hearing loss and inner ear damage. Research has also shown significant risk of tumors on the auditory nerve in the brain (acoustic neuromas).

**Disruptions to Heart Functioning:** Some research shows increases in heart rate, arrhythmias, dizziness, changes in blood pressure and other disturbances in heart functioning from wireless radiation.

“A disservice has been done in inaccurately depicting the body of science, which actually indicates that there are biological effects from the radiation emitted by wireless devices, including damage to DNA, and evidence for increased risk of cancer and other substantial health consequences…The public the world over has been misled by this reporting.”

**Dr. Ronald B. Herberman,** Founding Director of the University of Pittsburgh Cancer Institute, Vice Chancellor of Cancer Research at University of Pittsburgh and the first head of an NCI funded cancer center to speak out on the risks from cell phones.

**Headaches:** Research shows children who used cell phones or were exposed prenatally to wireless radiation are at higher risk of developing headaches.
**Neurotoxic effects:** Experimental research shows chronic exposure kills and reduces brain cells. 2011 NIH research found just 50 minutes of a wireless transmitting device (cell phone) next to the brain increases glucose in the part of the brain most exposed. Preliminary 3G and 4G research has shown that non-thermal levels of this radiation alter the brain’s electrical activity.

![Image from Volkow et al., Journal of the American Medical Association, 2011](image)

**Cognition and Impaired Memory:** Recent research at Yale University found prenatally exposed pups had impaired memory, increased hyperactivity and altered brains - consistent with a growing literature.

“We have shown that behavioral problems in mice that resemble ADHD are caused by cell phone exposure in the womb,” said Dr. Hugh Taylor of Yale Medical School. “The rise in behavioral disorders in human children may be in part due to fetal cellular telephone irradiation exposure.”

**Behavioral Issues:** Epidemiological studies have shown associations between exposures and behavioral issues in children. For example, a recent study showed a significant dose-response relationship between the number and duration of voice calls made on cell phones and ADHD risk among children exposed to lead in their environment.

*Microwave from wireless tech disrupts thinking – what could be worse for learning? Technology can be used more safely with wired devices that do not produce these biologically-disruptive levels of microwave radiation* said Cindy Sage, Co-Editor of the BioInitiative Report, a comprehensive report written by 29 international experts on the current scientific evidence on electromagnetic fields. The Report recommends wired access without WiFi whenever possible.

**Sleep:** Research shows this radiation from wireless transmitting devices can impact sleep. For example, this radiation has been shown to delay entrance into deep non-REM sleep and decrease time spent in this stage of sleep. The quantity and quality of sleep has a profound impact on learning and memory. A sleep-deprived person cannot focus or learn efficiently. Sleep also has a critical role in the consolidation of memory essential for learning new information.

“Radiation at extremely low levels (0.0001 the level emitted by the average digital cellular telephone) caused heart attacks and the deaths of some chicken embryos...independent, third-party peer-reviewed studies need to be conducted in the U.S. to begin examining the effects from radiation on migratory birds and other trust species.”

-Willie Taylor, US Department of the Interior in his February 2014 letter to Mr. Eli Veenendaal of the National Telecommunications and Information Administration, U.S. Dept. of Commerce.
**Oxidative stress:** Oxidative stress is the formation of tissue-damaging free radicals. Significant research overwhelmingly shows wireless radiation increases oxidative stress. This response damages cells and their DNA through the production of peroxides and free radicals. Oxidative stress is implicated in the cause of many diseases such as cancer and Alzheimer’s disease.

**Genotoxic effects:** Studies at non-thermal (no measurable temperature change) levels of microwave exposures show chromosomal instability, altered gene expression, gene mutations, DNA fragmentation and DNA structural breaks. Genetic mutations and cellular damage can potentially contribute to cancer growth.

Strong effects have been found in stem cells. Since stem cells are more active in children, researchers are concerned that children are at increased risk.

Scientific data on the biological effects of radiofrequency (RF) indicate the need to pursue a precautionary approach to protect the exposed population. It is clear that RF radiation can cause single and double strand DNA breaks at exposure levels that are currently considered safe under FCC guidelines. - Dr. Martin Blank of Columbia University in his letter to the LAUSD.

“Neuronal damage may not have immediately demonstrable consequences, even if repeated. It may, however, in the long run, result in reduced brain reserve capacity that might be unveiled by other later neuronal disease or even the wear and tear of ageing. We can not exclude that after some decades of (often), daily use, a whole generation of users, may suffer negative effects such as autoimmune and neurodegenerative diseases maybe already in their middle age”. Dr. Salford, Dr. Nittby, and Dr. Persson in Effects of Electromagnetic Fields From Wireless Communication upon the Blood-Brain Barrier in the Bioinitiative Report 2012 a scientific review of the science by 29 experts in ten countries.

**Endocrine System:** Research has shown impacts on pineal gland, adrenal gland and thyroid gland. These glands balance hormones that involve sleep. Research has shown that low levels of microwave exposure can reduce melatonin. Melatonin is not just critical to maintaining our sleep rhythm but it is also an extremely important antioxidant that helps to repair damaged DNA and reduces the growth of cancer cells. Additionally, research shows thyroid hormone levels can be impacted by wireless radiation. It has been established that even a small change in thyroid hormones can alter the brain.

Numerous experimental studies link radiation from wireless transmitting devices to biological effects. Current research is raising serious concerns about long term health impacts.

“Waiting for high levels of scientific and clinical proof before taking action to prevent well-known risks can lead to very high health and economic costs, as was the case with asbestos, leaded petrol and tobacco.”

-The 2011 European Commission Resolution 1815

A Selection of Research for each Health Issue is at the end of this online document. Please also see The Bioinitiative Report 2012 and PowerWatch.

“Where there are threats of serious or irreversible damage, lack of full scientific certainty shall not be used as a reason for postponing cost-effective measures to prevent environmental degradation.” The United Nation’s Rio Declaration on the Environment and Development, 1992.
TO: Los Angeles Unified School District
FROM: Martha R Herbert, PhD, MD
RE: Wireless vs. Wired in Classrooms
DATE: February 8, 2013

I am a pediatric neurologist and neuroscientist on the faculty of Harvard Medical School and on staff at the Massachusetts General Hospital. I am Board Certified in Neurology with Special Competency in Child Neurology, and Subspecialty Certification in Neurodevelopmental Disorders.

I have an extensive history of research and clinical practice in neurodevelopmental disorders, particularly autism spectrum disorders. I have published papers in brain imaging research, in physiological abnormalities in autism spectrum disorders, and in environmental influences on neurodevelopmental disorders such as autism and on brain development and function.

I recently accepted an invitation to review literature pertinent to a potential link between Autism Spectrum Disorders and Electromagnetic Frequencies (EMF) and Radiofrequency Radiation(RFR). I set out to write a paper of modest length, but found much more literature than I had anticipated to review. I ended up producing a 60 page single spaced paper with over 550 citations. It is available at http://www.biointiative.org/report/wp-content/uploads/pdfs/sec20_2012_Findings_in_Autism.pdf.

In fact, there are thousands of papers that have accumulated over decades –and are now accumulating at an accelerating pace, as our ability to measure impacts become more sensitive –that document adverse health and neurological impacts of EMF/RFR. Children are more vulnerable than adults, and children with chronic illnesses and/or neurodevelopmental disabilities are even more vulnerable. Elderly or chronically ill adults are more vulnerable than healthy adults.

Current technologies were designed and promulgated without taking account of biological impacts other than thermal impacts. We now know that there are a large array of impacts that have nothing to do with the heating of tissue. The claim from wifi proponents that the only concern is thermal impacts is now definitively outdated scientifically.

EMF/RFR from wifi and cell towers can exert a disorganizing effect on the ability to learn and remember, and can also be destabilizing to immune and metabolic function. This will make it harder for some children to learn, particularly those who are already having problems in the first place.

Powerful industrial entities have a vested interest in leading the public to believe that EMF/RFR, which we cannot see, taste or touch, is harmless, but this is not true. Please do the right and precautionary thing for our children.

I urge you to step back from your intention to go wifi in the LAUSD, and instead opt for wired technologies, particularly for those subpopulations that are most sensitive. It will be easier for you to make a healthier decision now than to undo a misguided decision later.

Thank you.

Martha Herbert, PhD, MD
Pediatric Neurology drherbert@autismwhyandhow.org Martinos Center for Biomedical Imaging
Massachusetts General Hospital, Harvard Medical School Boston, Massachusetts, USA
Kawartha Pine Ridge District School Board  
Education Centre  
1994 Fisher Drive  
Peterborough, Ontario K9J7A1

Dear Sirs/Madams:
This is concerning potential adverse health effects associated with exposure to radiofrequency (RF) radiation, specifically that from wireless routers. I am a public health physician who has been involved in issues related to electromagnetic fields (EMFs) for a number of years. I served as the Executive Secretary for the New York Powerline Project in the 1980s, a program of research which showed that children living in homes with elevated magnetic fields coming from powerlines suffered from an elevated risk of developing leukemia. I have edited two books on effects of EMFs, including RF radiation. I served as the co-editor of the Bioinitiative Report (www.bioinitiative.org), a comprehensive review of the literature on this subject. The public health chapter from this report was subsequently published in a peer reviewed journal, and that is attached. Also I testified before the President’s Cancer Panel on this subject in 2009, and a publication coming from that testimony is also attached. Thus this is a subject which I know well, and one on which I take a public health approach that has as a fundamental principle the need to protect against risk of disease even when one does not have all the information that would be desirable.

There is clear and strong evidence that intensive use of cell phones increases the risk of brain cancer, tumors of the auditory nerve and cancer of the parotid gland, the salivary gland in the cheek by the ear. The evidence for this conclusion is detailed in the attached publications. WiFi uses similar radiofrequency radiation (1.8 to 5.0 GHz), although the intensity of exposure in the immediate environment is much lower than what one gets from holding a cell phone close to your head. The difference between a cell phone and a WiFi environment, however, is that while the cell phone is used only intermittently a WiFi environment is continuous. In addition WiFi transmitters are indoors, where people (and in this case, children) may be very close to them. There is evidence from Scandinavian studies of cell phone usage that children who use cell phones are about five times more likely to develop brain cancer than if use starts as an adult. Thus it is especially important to protect children.

To my knowledge there has not been any health investigation of individuals living or working in WiFi environments as compared to others who are not. However, because the radiation is the same as those for cell phones, there is every reason to assume that the health effects would be the same, varying only in relation to the total dose of radiation. Wired facilities do not generate any RF radiation. While there is not specific proof that WiFi increases risk of cancer, there is certainly no evidence that it is safe. I urge you to not put WiFi in any school. Children should not be put at increased risk of developing cancer.

Yours sincerely,

David O. Carpenter, M.D.  
Director, Institute for Health and the Environment, University at Albany
Considerations for Schools

Schools need to provide safe learning environments and safe working conditions for staff.

Frameworks for Decision Making

The Environmental Health Trust recommends that schools reduce exposure to children and staff to levels as low as reasonably achievable (ALARA). Fiber optic and ethernet connections are the Best Practice technology solution for schools allowing for full internet access without radiation exposure.

**ALARA = As Low As Reasonably Achievable**

The ALARA principle is an integral part of basic radiation safety planning in medical and industrial activities. It grows out of the assumption that radiation carries with it some risk. This means that every activity involving exposure to radiation should keep exposure to lowest levels possible.

*As regards standards or threshold values for emissions of electromagnetic fields of all types and frequencies, the Assembly strongly recommends that the ALARA (as low as reasonably achievable) principle is applied.* - The European Commission Resolution 1815

Wireless Systems are NOT Energy Efficient:

“Wireless is an energy monster; it’s just inherently inefficient. ...Our calculations show that, in 2015, the wireless networks we use to access cloud services will command around 90% of the energy needed to power the entire wireless cloud services ecosystem....This is an increase in carbon footprint from 6 megatonnes of CO2 in 2012 to up to 30 megatonnes of CO2 in 2015, the equivalent of adding 4.9 million cars to the roads.


The Precautionary Principle

If an action or policy has a suspected risk of causing harm to the public or to the environment, in the absence of scientific consensus, the burden of proof that it is not harmful falls on those taking an action.

*The dearth of legislation to regulate the installation of BTS (antenna towers) in close proximity to children’s facilities and schools clearly constitutes a human rights concern according to the language of the Convention on the Rights of the Child... We conclude that, because scientific knowledge is incomplete, a precautionary approach is better suited to State obligations under international human rights law.* - Claudia Roda and Susan Perry.

Fiber Optic/Ethernet  Wired System verses Wireless:

**Speed:** Wireless broadband network speeds are generally slower than fiber optic networks. In addition, the greater the number of users accessing a wireless access point at once, the greater is the degradation of service.

**Capacity:** Wired connectivity can offer over 15 times higher bandwidth.

**Security:** Wireless systems are easily intercepted, tapped and hacked.

**Reliability:** Wired systems do not have transmission and interference issues like wireless.

**Cost:** Wireless infrastructure must be continually upgraded and modified.

**Energy:** Radiation absorbed into humans is wasted energy. Minimizing RF = saving energy.

**Health:** Wired systems do not emit radiation and do not pose any potential health risks.
Best Practices for Schools
Wired LAN Internet and Corded Phones

“It is in the best interest of the District, its Board, and the children, teachers and staff the District protects to provide healthy and safe school environments. ...Failing to select wired over wireless technologies will needlessly expose hundreds of thousands of school children, as well as faculty and staff of LAUSD to massive new and unnecessary RFR (Radio Frequency Radiation) exposures that are already designated as a Possible Human Carcinogen.

Instead, the Board should adopt programs to expand wired internet infrastructure and “EMF/RFR best practices” including the use of wired (CAT-6 or other), cable modem internet, or fiber optic connections instead that do not produce toxic exposures.”


**Version 3.0 of the USA Northeast Collaborative for High Performance Schools Version Criteria**

**Low-EMF Best Practices in the INDOOR ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY Section (USA)**

EQ 15.2.2 Wired local area network (LAN) to reduce RF EMF
· Install a wired local area network (LAN) for Internet access throughout the school. Provide wired network connections for desktop computers, laptops, notebooks, and tablets. All wireless transmitters shall be disabled on all Wi-Fi-enabled devices. Provide wired input devices for computer workstations.

EQ 15.2.3 Wired Phones to reduce RF EMF in classroom
· Install easily accessible hard-wired phones for teacher and student use and prohibit installation and use of standard DECT cordless phones and cordless phones operating at 2.4 GHz and 5.8 GHz unless they have been laboratory tested to demonstrate that the cordless phone base station and handsets (whether placed in the charging station or not) do not emit RF EMF emissions in standby mode.

· Prohibit the use of cell phones and other personal electronic devices in instructional areas / classrooms. Additionally, they shall be required to be powered off or be in airplane mode (sleep mode is not sufficient) except during fire-life-safety drills and incidents.

Download these Best Practices Here

**Mobile Learning and Health Risks:** Implications for Pedagogical and Educational Practices
Mikko Ahonen, PhD, Department of Computer Sciences, University of Tampere, Finland

Best Practices Recommendations:
· Minimize exposure time, no base stations (Including WIFI) close to classrooms.
· Avoid continuous mobile data transfer, prefer synchronized, off line services.
· Use Ethernet Connection Most of the Time.
THE UPPER STURT PRIMARY SCHOOL
WiFi and Wireless Devices Policy

Upper Sturt Primary School is committed to providing a safe and healthy learning environment for our children. Unprecedented long term exposure to wireless computer networks (WiFi) and wireless communication devices in school may present a potential health and safety risk or hazard for children and staff.

WiFi and wireless communication devices such as mobile phones and tablets use microwave radiofrequency. The World Health Organisation’s International Agency for Research on Cancer (IARC) classified microwave radiofrequency electromagnetic fields as Group 2B ‘possibly carcinogenic to humans’ (May 2011).

The Australian Radiation Protection and Nuclear Safety Agency (ARPANSA) Fact Sheet 14 How to reduce exposure from mobile phones and other wireless devices recommends reducing unnecessary exposure to radiofrequency emissions from mobile and cordless phones, other wireless devices and wireless computer networks (WiFi). As a precautionary approach, Upper Sturt Primary School uses wired computers to safely access the internet. Wired smart boards and telephones have also been installed for educational and administrative purposes.

Operations: To reduce exposure to unnecessary microwave radiofrequency emissions.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Leaders</th>
<th>Teachers</th>
<th>Students</th>
<th>Parents</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>*Inform staff, parents and students of WiFi and Wireless Devices Policy provide access to wired computers and telephones (no cordless DECT phones)</td>
<td>*Limit use of mobile phone on school grounds and use landline where possible</td>
<td>*Turn off mobile phone or switch to flight/airplane mode when not in use</td>
<td>*Limit use of mobile phone and wireless devices on school grounds</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>*Ensure new buildings (if internet access required) include a fully wired ethernet infrastructure</td>
<td>*Turn off mobile phone or switch to flight/airplane mode when not in use</td>
<td>*Wireless devices (tablets/ipods) used for learning purposes must be in flight/airplane mode.</td>
<td>*Turn off mobile phone or switch to flight/airplane mode if spending extended time at school with children eg hearing children read</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>*Use powerline ethernet adaptors which use existing electrical wiring to extend a network</td>
<td>*Keep connected phone away from children</td>
<td>*Avoid use of microwave oven when children are present</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>*Recommend mobile phones be switched to flight/airplane mode when not in use and ipods and tablets be used in flight/airplane mode</td>
<td>*Wireless devices (tablets/ipods) used for teaching must be in flight/airplane mode</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>*Restrict use of microwave oven around children</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Updated 11/1/2014</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
More Examples of Schools Removing the WiFi

2015: St. Cajetanus School, Belgium: Wired Internet installed and wireless removed.
2015: Washington Waldorf School, Maryland, USA: Removed Wi-Fi Routers from Buildings, Ethernet installed.
2015: Ashland Public Schools, Mass (USA); “Best Practices” to turn the WiFi off when not in use, Download Slides HERE.
2014: Waldorf Avonmore School: Wired internet only
2014: Meeting House Montessori, Brantree Massachusetts, USA, WiFi replaced with ethernet.
2014: Ghent, Finland, Wi-fi banned from pre-schools and day care.
2014: UPPER Sturt Primary School, Australia "No WIFI" LOW EMF Policy
2014: The St. Augustine School in Italy turned off Wifi and goes back to Wires.
2013 Winlaw Elementary School, B.C. Canada turned off WiFi.
2013 Te Horo Primary School New Zealand Replaced WIFI with cable-based internet.
2013 Kootenay Lakes District School Board BC (One school without Wi-Fi)
2013 Blaise-Cendrars High School, Switzerland. Teachers vote to remove WiFi.
2012 Kivioja primary school in Ylivieska Finland bans phones and minimizes Wireless.
2012: Halton Waldorf, in Burlington Vermont: Remaining free of Wireless Radiation
2011 City of Lakes Waldorf School, WiFi taken out. Minneapolis, Minnesota USA
2011 Aurora School in Ontario removed Wifi and replaced with hardwired.
2011 North Cariboo Christian School in Quesnel, B.C., removed Wi-fi.
2011 Pretty River Academy in Ontario no WiFi.
2011 Wayside Academy, Peterborough, Ontario no Wi Fi. 2010 Surrey, BC Roots and Wings Montessori removed Wi-Fi.
2010 Ontario St. Vincent Euphrasia elementary school: Parents voted to turn off Wi-Fi.

Dr. Erica Mallery--Blythe
Recommendations of Physicians' Health Initiative for Radiation and Environment -UK
from “Electromagnetic Radiation and Children” November 2014 Lecture

Half Measures
- Minimise Use of RF emitting technology generally
- Replace devices with low radiation alternatives
- Use Adaptive Power Control APC
- Distance the user as much as possible
- Avoid Max Power situations
- Minimize ELF fields also
- Ensure adequate grounding of devices

Defensible Protection Immediately
- Switch off all emitting devices when not in use
- Use Airplane Mode

ASAP Withdraw all RF emissions
- Use Alternatives (hardwired eg.fibreoptic)
- Minimize ELF (shielding/grounding)
INTERNATIONAL PRECAUTIONARY ACTIONS

No medical or scientific organization states low level microwaves are “safe”. Due to the accumulating research showing biological impacts from wireless radiation, governments and health authorities around the world are taking action to minimize exposure.

“The IARC 2B classification implies an assurance of safety that cannot be offered—a particular concern, given the prospect that most of the world’s population will have lifelong exposure to radiofrequency electromagnetic fields.” - Dr. Jonathan Samet, physician and epidemiologist, Chair of the World Health Organization’s EMF Working Group who made the Class 2 B classification, in a 2014 Commentary.

- 2015 Law passed: WiFi banned from nursery schools, Wifi must be be turned off in elementary schools when not in use, Cellphone advertisements must recommend headsets to reduce exposure to brain.
- 2011 French Cell Phone Statute: Merchants must display SAR Radiation levels for different phone models, all phones must be sold with a headset, cell phone ads aimed at children younger than 14 are banned and phones made for children under 6 are banned.
- 2013 ANSES Report recommends hands free phones, SAR labeling, and “limiting the population’s exposure to radiofrequencies... especially for children and intensive users, and controlling the overall exposure that results from relay antennas.”
- The French National Library along with other libraries in Paris, and a number of universities have removed all Wi-Fi networks.
- Herouville-Saint-Clair has removed all Wi-Fi equipment installed in municipal buildings.

- Phones designed for children under 7 years old are prohibited from sale.
- Total Advertising Ban on cell phones aimed at children younger than 14.
- Mandatory Radiation SAR levels must be available for consumers at point of sale.
- Warning label on phones: “Think about your health – use your mobile phone moderately, make your calls wearing an earpiece and choose a set with a lower SAR value.”
- Recommendations include use of hands-free methods to keep the phone away from the body such as text messaging and not making calls when the signal is weak, such as in an elevator or vehicle.
- Ghent Municipality: Wireless internet is banned from spaces that cater to children between 0 and three: preschools and daycares to reduce exposure to microwave radiation.

Spain: The Parliament of Navarra voted to urge removal of WIFI in schools and to apply the precautionary principle in relation to exposure limits to electromagnetic fields whose boundaries have become "obsolete".
- The Parliament voted to adopt a resolution which calls to implement the Parliamentary Assembly of the Council of Europe resolution 1815 of 2011, which recommends to "review the scientific basis for the standards of exposure to electromagnetic fields" and "set thresholds for levels of preventive long-term exposure in all indoor areas not exceeding 0.6 volts per meter ".

Australia: In 2013 the Australian Radiation Protection and Nuclear Safety Agency issued Fact Sheet 14: titled How to Reduce exposure from mobile phones and other wireless devices.
- Reduce the risk from WiFi devices by “keeping them at a distance, for example placing the wireless router away from where people spend time”, and “reducing the amount of time you use them”.
- “ARPANSA recommends that parents encourage their children to limit their exposure.”
Italy: The Italian Supreme Court ruled a man’s brain tumor was caused by his cell phone use in 2012. The National Institute for Workmen’s Compensation must compensate a worker with head tumor due to cell use.
   ● On June 10, 2015, the State Parliament of South Tyrol voted to allow the application of the precautionary principle mandating the state government to:
      ○ 1. To replace existing wireless networks whenever possible with networks that emit less radiation at schools, preschools, hospitals, nursing homes, and other public facilities.
      ○ 2. Establish a working group whose mandate it is to assess these new technologies and their exposure levels. With regard to wireless communication technologies, mobile Internet access, and public health, the working group shall clarify which technologies emit less radiation and provide sustainable technology options and
      ○ 3. To start an education and awareness campaign that informs about possible health risks, especially regarding the unborn, infants, children, and adolescents and that develops guidelines for a safer use of cell phones, smartphones, and Wi-Fi …Discussion at the Plenary Session, 10 June 2015 (in German) >>>/////Official Files, Resolutions (in German) >>>/////Previous Hearing at the Parliament of South Tyrol, 29 April 2015 (in German) >>>

Finland: The Radiation and Nuclear Safety Authority issued recommendations for children which include: favoring text messages, parents limiting duration and amount of calls, the use of hands-free devices, avoiding calls in a low reception area and keeping the phone away from the body.
   ● "With children, we have reason to be especially careful, because there is not enough research on children's mobile phone use", according to STUK research director Sisko Salomaa.

Israel: The Israeli Ministry Of Education has issued guidelines limiting WiFi and cell phone use in schools.
   ● Preschool through 2nd grade have banned the use of wireless networks. In third and fourth grade class internet is restricted to 3 hours per week.
   ● A hard wired direct cable connection is required if the teacher has a computer in the class. Magnetic fields below 4mG are being reduced.
   ● The Israeli Supreme Court ordered the Israeli government to reply on ceasing Wifi installations
   ● In third and fourth grade class internet is restricted to 3 hours per week.
   ● The Education Ministry has instructed all schools to perform radiation tests.
   ● Israel's Minister of Health Rabi Litzman stated that he supports a ban on Wi-Fi in schools.
   ● A hard wired direct cable connection is required if the teacher has a computer in the class.
   ● Government created the Webpage National Information Ctr for Non-Ionizing Radiation
   ● Read the ISRAEL 2015 RF Safety Report

Switzerland: The Governing Council of Thurgau Canton 2008 “The Governing Council recommends for schools to forgo the use of wireless networks when the structural makeup of a given school building allows for a wired network.”

Germany: The German Federal Ministry for Radiation Protection states,"supplementary precautionary measures such as wired cable alternatives are to be preferred to the WLAN system."
   ● Bavaria: The State Ministry of Education and Cultural Affairs: “For precautionary reasons the Federal Office for Radiation Protection recommends for schools that if a wireless network is used to place its components in suitable locations and to prefer the use of wired network solutions whenever possible.”
     In 2007 Parliament recommendation to all schools to not install wireless LAN networks.
   ● Frankfurt: “In Frankfurt's schools there will be no wireless networks in the short or mid term. The Local
Education Authority did not wish to conduct a “large scale human experiment,” said Michael Damian, spokesperson of the Head of the School Department Jutta Ebeling.

**Austria:** "The official advice of the [Public Health Department of the Salzburg Region](https://www.salzburg.gv.at) is not to use WLAN and DECT in Schools or Kindergartens." -Gerd Oberfeld, MD.
- The Austrian Medical Society has issued [cell phone safety guidelines](https://www.salzburg.gv.at) stating that cell phones should be used for as short of a time as possible and that children under 16 should not use cell phones at all. They also state that wireless LAN leads to high microwave exposure.

**United Kingdom:** The UK National Health Service offers specific [Recommendations](https://www.gov.uk) for children and cell phones as “children are thought to be at higher risk of health implications”.
- “Children should only use mobile phones for essential purposes and keep all calls short. “
- For the public they have “recommendations to help lower any potential long-term risks” which include keeping calls short, keep phone away from the body on standby mode, only use it when the reception is strong and use a phone with an external antenna.

**European Environment Agency:** “All reasonable measures to be taken to reduce exposures to electromagnetic fields, especially radiofrequencies from mobile phones and particularly the exposures to children and young adults. Current exposure limits to be reconsidered.”

**India:** 2012 The Ministry of Communications and Information Technology issued [EMF guidelines](https://www.icmar.tn) with new Exposure Limits lowered to 1/10 of the ICNIRP level, SAR labeling on phones.
- [Official guidelines](https://www.icmar.tn) for cell phone use include: Headsets, Speakerphones, limiting cell use, increasing distance from devices, and choosing landlines.
- 2013: [Supreme Court of India](https://www.icmar.tn) upheld the High Court of the State of Rajasthan decision to remove all cell towers from the vicinity of schools, hospitals and playgrounds because of radiation “hazardous to life.”
- The Ministry of Communications and Information Technology has an [EMF webpage](https://www.icmar.tn)
- [Zilla Parishad orders removal of all cellphone towers](https://www.icmar.tn) near schools citing exposure to “harmful radiation.”

**Russia:** [The Russian National Committee on Non-Ionizing Radiation Protection](https://www.icmar.tn) has repeatedly warned about electromagnetic radiation impacts on children and recommended WiFi not be used in schools.
- “Thus, for the first time in the human history, children using mobile telecommunications along with the adult population are included into the health risk group due to the RF EMF exposure.”
- “In children, the amount of so-called stem cells is larger than in adults and the stem cells were shown to be the most sensitive to RF EMF exposure.”
- “It is reasonable to set limits on mobile telecommunications use by children and adolescents, including ban on all types of advertisement of mobile telecommunications for children.”
- [Decision of Russian National Committee on Non-Ionizing Radiation Protection](https://www.icmar.tn) 2008, "Children and Mobile Phones: The Health of the Following Generations is in Danger”

**Canada:** Health Canada offers “[Practical Advice](https://www.icmar.tn)” on reducing exposure to wireless radiation.
- Recommendations: 1. Limit the length of cell phone calls, 2. Replace cell phone calls with text, use "hands-free" devices and 3. Encourage children under the age of 18 to limit their cell phone usage
of all wireless devices to place specific health warning labels clearly on packaging, or face daily penalties /fines and/or imprisonment.

- **Canadian Parliament Standing Committee on Health of the House of Commons issued a report "Radio Frequency Electromagnetic Radiation and the Health of Canadians"** on June, 2015 after holding public hearings regarding Health Canada's Safety Code 6 recommended limits. They made 12 recommendations including an awareness campaign on reducing exposures, improved information collecting and policy measures regarding the marketing of radiation emitting devices to children under the age of 14, "in order to ensure they are aware of the health risks and how they can be avoided."

**Resolution 1815:** In 2011 The Parliamentary Assembly of the Council of Europe issued *The Potential Dangers of Electromagnetic Fields and Their Effect on the Environment.*

A call to European governments to “take all reasonable measures” to reduce exposure to electromagnetic fields “particularly the exposure to children and young people who seem to be most at risk from head tumours.” The Resolution calls for member states to:

- Implement “information campaigns about the risk of biological effects on the environment and human health, especially targeting children and young people of reproductive age. ”
- “Reconsider the scientific basis for the present standards on exposure to electromagnetic fields set by the International Commission on Non-Ionising Radiation Protection, which have serious limitations, and apply ALARA principles, covering both thermal effects and the athermic or biological effects of electromagnetic emissions or radiation.”

“For children in general, and particularly in schools and classrooms, give preference to wired Internet connections, and strictly regulate the use of mobile phones by schoolchildren on school premises.”

**United States:** Legislation has been introduced at the state and national level. Some Communities have issued proclamations, resolutions and and started initiatives to inform the public of wireless health issues.

- **2014 California, Berkeley:** [May 12, 2015 Berkeley Adopted the Cell Phone "Right to Know" Ordinance on a Unanimous Vote.](https://www.huffpost.com/entry/berkeley-right-to-know-cell-phone-ordinance_n_557b9430e4b00017b1f20851) Berkeley is the first city in the nation to require cell phone retailers to provide those who purchase a new phone an informational fact sheet which informs buyers to read the user manual to learn the cell phone’s minimum separation distance from the body.

- **2014 New York:** [Wireless Router Labeling in all Suffolk Public buildings](https://www.suffolk county ny.gov/SocialMediaSCO/Emails/Email/archive/2014-12-02/2014-12-02-01-Router-Labeling.pdf); 12/2014 The Suffolk County Legislature passed legislation to require all county buildings to post notices that wireless routers are in use such as, "Notice: Wireless technology in use." The resolution, sponsored by Legis. William Spencer (a physician), warns that every wireless device emits radio frequency radiation or microwave radiation. It notes that studies "that have looked at the effects of low-level RFR radiation on human cells and DNA have been inconclusive."

- **2015 NEW Massachusetts** just proposed Bill [S1222](https://www.ma.gov/bill/s1222); An Act creating a special commission to study the health impacts of electromagnetic fields and [Bill H2007](https://www.ma.gov/bill/h2007); An Act relative to a special commission to study electric and magnetic fields. Bills Still in Process as of July 23, 2015.

- **2014 Maryland, Greenbelt:** [The Greenbelt Maryland City Council voted unanimously on November 24, 2014](http://www.greenbeltmd.gov/news/releases/2014/112414cellphones.htm) to alert citizens about the fine print warnings and possible health risks of cell phones and wireless devices, to send the FCC Chairman a letter urging the adoption of “radiation standards that will protect human health and safety.” They also voted to oppose cell towers on school grounds.

- **2012 Wyoming:** Jackson Hole issued a [Proclamation of Cell Phone Safety](http://www.jacksonhole.com/wp-content/uploads/2012/07/JH-Cell-Phone-Proclamation.pdf) which cites concern over long term health effects as well as the increased risk the radiation poses to children.

- **2012 Florida: Pembroke Pines, passed Resolution 3362** expressing the City's "Urgent Concerns"
about Wireless Radiation and Health and which encourages citizens to read their manuals and presents information on how to reduce exposure by using a headset or speakerphone. Jimmy Gonzalez, an attorney who had developed brain cancer after heavy cell use, initially petitioned the Commission. Watch the Video of his powerful testimony here.

- 2010 California, San Francisco: Cell Phone Radiation (How to Reduce Exposures) Webpage launched.
- 2010 California: Burlingame California City Council voted to include cell phone safety guidelines in their Healthy Living in Burlingame initiative (WHO classification and consumer precautions).
- 2010 Maine, Portland: Mayor Mavodenes, Jr. declared October “Cell Phone Awareness Month”

**Proposed Legislation**

- 2014, City of Berkeley California City Manager and Community Health Commission initiates action for warning stickers on cell phone boxes.
- 2012 The Cell Phone Right to Know Act H.R. 6358 was introduced receiving strong support from many organizations including the American Academy of Pediatrics. (AAP Letter here) This legislation called for labels on mobile devices at point of sale, a comprehensive national research program to study whether exposure to wireless devices causes adverse biological effects directed by NIEHS and the EPA and exposure level regulation.
- 2014 The Maine LD 1013 “The Wireless Information Act” passed the State Senate and House but then failed to pass the second vote. The Bill requires manufacturer's information on radio-frequency exposure be visible on the outside of the cell phone's product packaging.
- 2014 Hawaii Senate Bill SB 2571 was introduced calling for a warning label encouraging consumers to follow the enclosed product safety guidelines to reduce exposure to radiation that may be hazardous to their health.
- The San Francisco Cell Phone Right to Know Ordinance was signed in 2011 requiring cell phone retailers to distribute an educational sheet created by the San Francisco Department of Environment that explains radiofrequency emissions from cell phones and how consumers can minimize their exposure. The CTIA sued the city and settled with the City to block implementation of the Ordinance in exchange for a waiver of attorneys’ fees. The City Cell Phone Radiation Webpage remains online.
- SB 932 California, HM 32, New Mexico, HB 1408 Pennsylvania, and SB 679 Oregon.

**Parent Teacher Organizations Taking Action**

2014 United Federation of Teachers (teachers, nurses and professionals working in New York City).
- New Wireless Radiation Webpage states, “Wireless radiation is emitted by the myriad of wireless devices we encounter every day. It was once thought to be relatively harmless. However, we now know that wireless radiation can cause non-thermal biological effects as well, including damage to cells and DNA, even at low levels.
- Resources posted on their site include Dr. Moskowitz’ Reducing Your Exposure to Wireless Radiation and the BabySafe Project brochure What You Need to Know About Wireless Radiation and Your Baby. “Taking certain precautions around wireless radiation is appropriate for our most vulnerable populations, including pregnant women.”

2014 New York State Teacher’s Union NYSUT: A federation of more than 1,200 local unions.
- NYSUT hosted a Webinar: Risks of wireless technologies and protecting children and staff in schools.

2013 Canadian Teacher Federation’s Brief (200,000 elementary and secondary school teachers)
- “CTF is concerned about the lack of definitive research regarding the adverse health effects of Wi-Fi.
- “We propose a prudent approach to the use of Wi-Fi, especially where children are present.”
- “We recommend an education program regarding the relative safety of Wi-Fi exposure and that appropriate resources be developed to educate the public regarding ways to avoid potential exposure risks of Wi-Fi access points and devices.”
- “Pedagogical needs could be met in schools with an approach that limits exposure to Wi-Fi.”

2013 United Teachers of Los Angeles, representing 40,000 teachers and staff
- Resolution passed: “I move that UTLA will abide by current National NEA Policy for Environmentally Safe Schools which states that all employees and stakeholders should be informed when there are changes in their exposure to environmental hazards including electromagnetic radiation and that all stakeholders and the public should be notified
of any actual and potential hazards.”

2013 Elementary Teacher's Federation of Ontario - over 76,000 teachers
  ● Label the location of Wi-Fi access points.
  ● Develop a hazard control program related to wireless microwave radiation through JHSC.

2012 The Ontario English Catholic Teachers Association (45,000 Ontario teachers)
  ● Recommends a wired infrastructure as WIFI “may present a potential Health and Safety risk or hazard in the workplace...The safety of this technology has not thoroughly been researched and therefore the precautionary principle and prudent avoidance of exposure should be practiced.”

“'The National Education Association believes that all educational facilities must have healthy indoor air quality, be smoke-free, be safe from environmental and chemical hazards, and be safe from hazardous electromagnetic fields.” Section C-19 of the NEA 2013-2014 Resolutions

2013 BC Teachers Federation adopted Wireless Resolutions and Proposed Resolutions
  ● “The BCTF supports members who are suffering from Electromagnetic Hypersensitivity by ensuring their medical needs are accommodated in the workplace.”
  ● Proposed Resolutions “the World Health Organization's classification of radiofrequency/electromagnetic fields emitted by wireless devices as a 2B possible cancer risk to humans; that the BCTF ensures all teachers have the right to work in a safe environment, including the right to work in a Wi-Fi/ wireless-free environment.”
  ● Recommendation to the Ministry of Education that school boards “begin immediate installation of on/off switches for Wi-Fi routers in schools, thereby reducing microwave radiation exposure and reducing health risks to members, and/or provide safer Ethernet cables or fibre optics”.

2013 The BC Confederation of Parent Advisory Councils (BCCPAC) of 821 Advisory Councils representing over 500,000 parents in British Columbia passed two resolutions.
  ● Resolution 17 "calls on each Board of Education to have one public school at each education level that is free of Wi-Fi, cordless phones and cell phones. This school will only be equipped with wired computers and wired telephones for personal, educational and administrative purposes."
  ● Resolution 18 calls on Boards of Education to "cease to install Wi-Fi and other wireless networks in schools where other networking technology is feasible." Passed with a clear majority.

2010 UK VOICE; The Union for Education Professionals- 20,000 members
  ● "Voice has advocated that new Wi-Fi systems should not be installed in schools, that existing systems should be turned off when not required and that schools should consider whether they really need to use Wi-Fi, which was developed to facilitate Internet access on the move rather than to be used as a convenient alternative to cables in dedicated IT facilities.”

2010 Greater Victoria Teachers' Association
  ● Wi-Fi free zones should be available.
  ● On/Off routers recommended and record any adverse Wi-Fi health effects.
  ● Minimal or non-use within elementary schools.
DOCTORS AND SCIENTISTS APPEAL FOR STRICTER WIRELESS TECHNOLOGY REGULATION

“Numerous recent scientific publications have shown that EMF affects living organisms at levels well below most international and national guidelines. Effects include increased cancer risk, cellular stress, increase in harmful free radicals, genetic damages, structural and functional changes of the reproductive system, learning and memory deficits, neurological disorders, and negative impacts on general well-being in humans.”

-Over 200 scientists from 39 nations in the International EMF Scientist Appeal

These scientists have collectively published over 2,000 peer-reviewed papers on the biological or health effects of non-ionizing radiation. In summary, the International EMF Scientist Appeal calls upon the United Nations, the WHO, and the UN Member States to:

- address the emerging public health crisis related to cell phones, wireless devices, wireless utility meters and wireless infrastructure in neighborhoods; and
- urge that the United Nations Environmental Programme (UNEP) initiate an assessment of alternatives to current exposure standards and practices that could substantially lower human exposures to non-ionizing radiation.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Stewart Report, UK 2000</td>
<td>Parish Kirchner Appeal 2005</td>
<td>World Health Organization 2011</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Declaration of Alcalá 2002</td>
<td>Saarlander Appeal 2005</td>
<td>Austrian Medical Association 2012</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Catania Resolution 2002</td>
<td>Stockacher Appeal 2005</td>
<td>Resolution on Electromagnetic Health 2012</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Freiburger Appeal 2002</td>
<td>Vancouver School Resolution 2005</td>
<td>British Doctor Initiative 2013</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coburger Appeal 2005</td>
<td>Schlüchtern appeal</td>
<td>International Scientists Appeal to U.N. to Protect Humans and Wildlife from Electromagnetic Fields and Wireless Technology 2015</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oberamergau Appeal 2005</td>
<td>Brussels Appeal 2007</td>
<td>Over 200 Scientists</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Haibacher Appeal 2005</td>
<td>Venice Resolution 2008</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pfarrkirchener Appeal 2005</td>
<td>Porto Alegre Resolution 2009</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Freienbacher Appeal 2005</td>
<td>European Parliament</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lichtenfelser Appeal 2005</td>
<td>EMF Resolution 2009</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Dutch Appeal 2009</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Int'l Appeal of Würzburg 2010</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Copenhagen Resolution 2010</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Seletun Consensus Statement 2010</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Sources of Wireless Microwave Radiation in Schools

Many school systems are bringing wifi enabled systems into schools. Wireless iPads, tablets, computers, laptops, printers, and DECT cordless phones emit radiation. In addition, school systems are enacting *Bring Your Own Device* policies which result in cell phones and a host of other wireless devices in use in classrooms on a daily basis.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Whether from a tablet, laptop or cellphone</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Wi-Fi effectively sends and receives microwave radiation</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The published radiation level of mobile devices is measured with a standardized test called Specific Absorption Rate (SAR). An iPad on WiFi/3G has an SAR of 0.76-1.19 W/kg, compared to the SAR of an iPhone on GSM/CDMA at 0.547-1.18 W/kg when held immediately against the ear.

| Current guidelines do not consider the combined radiation exposure from different wireless devices in operation at the same time. |

A student is exposed to radiation from FOUR sources:
1. The student’s personal devices (tablet and cell phone).
2. The devices of all the other nearby users.
3. Other fixed wireless devices in the vicinity (routers, printers, wireless mouse, etc.).
4. Transmitters *outside* the school building, such as a cell tower.

**Current 18-year old guidelines are set only to avoid heat and do not take into account studies showing numerous non-thermal effects**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>USA Guidelines avoid immediate acute thermal risks</th>
<th>30 minutes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Canadian Guidelines avoid acute thermal risks</td>
<td>6 Minutes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>One School Year for a child</td>
<td>1,080 hours</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kindergarten to High school graduation</td>
<td>14,040 hours</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total cell phone call time tied with increased risk of gliomas (brain cancer) more than doubled in epidemiological studies cited by the World Health Organizations International Agency for the Research on Cancer’s Monograph on RF Fields.</td>
<td>1,640 hours</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

“We will not get rid of the Internet or computers. We should not ignore, however, the enlarging body of science that points to real threats to public health and, especially, our children’s safety and well-being. The best approach is precautionary. Reduce the risk by reducing the microwave emissions. It is our obligation as physicians and parents to protect our children. They are the future and our legacy.”

Cindy Russell MD, VP Environmental Health of the Santa Clara Medical Association, from her published article “Shallow Minds: How the Internet and WiFi Can Affect Learning.”
The Fine Print Manufacturer Warnings

All manufacturers of wireless devices have warnings which describe the minimum distance devices must be kept away from users in order to not exceed the as-tested limits for exposure to wireless radiation. For example, the FCC sets exposure limits for laptops and tablets that are tested 20 cm away from the body.

**Blackberry Bold 9930:** “Keep the BlackBerry device at least 0.59 in. (15 mm) from your body (including the abdomen of pregnant women and the lower abdomen of teenagers) when the BlackBerry device is turned on and connected to the wireless network.”

**iPads:** "to be sure that human exposure to RF energy does not exceed the FCC, IC, and European Union guidelines, always follow these instructions and precautions: Orient the device in portrait mode with the Home button at the bottom of the display, or in landscape mode with the cellular antenna away from your body or other objects.”

**Samsung 3G Laptop:** “Usage precautions during 3G connection : Keep safe distance from pregnant women’s stomach or from lower stomach of teenagers. Body worn operation: Important safety information regarding radiofrequency radiation (RF) exposure.To ensure compliance with RF exposure guidelines the Notebook PC must be used with a minimum of 20.8 cm antenna separation from the body.”

**X Box 360 Gaming Console:** To comply with IC RF exposure compliance requirements, the antenna used for this transmitter must be installed to provide a separation distance of at least 20 cm from all persons and must not be co-located or operating in conjunction with any other antenna or transmitter.

**iPhone 4:** "To be sure that human exposure does not exceed the FCC guidelines, always follow these instructions... keep iPhone at least 15 mm (5/8 inch) away from the body, and only use carrying cases, belt clips, or holders that do not have metal parts and that maintain at least 15 mm (5/8) inch separation between the iPhone and the body." To view the information on your iPhone go to Settings > General > About > Legal > RF Exposure.

**Belkin WIFI Router Manual:** “Caution: Exposure to Radiofrequency Radiation: The device shall be used in such a manner that the potential for human contact normal operation is minimized. This equipment complies with FCC radiation exposure limits set forth for an uncontrolled environment. This equipment should be installed and operated with a minimum distance of 20cm between the radiator and your body.”

**HP Printer:** “In order to avoid the possibility of exceeding the FCC radio frequency exposure limits, human proximity to the antenna shall not be less than 20 cm (8 inches) during normal operation.”

**Baby Monitor Motorola MBP33:** The Baby unit shall be installed and used such that parts of the user’s body other than the hands are maintained at a distance of approximately 20 cm (8 inches) or more.
Federal Regulations and Mobile Devices

“...Mobile devices are transmitters designed to be used in such a way that a separation distance of at least 20 centimeters is normally maintained between the transmitter's radiating structure(s) and the body of the user or nearby persons.” FCC, BULLETIN 65, 1997

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Why are these Fine Print Warnings important?</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>If these distances are not maintained, people can be exposed to radiation at levels that exceed the current FCC standard, potentially exposing them to <em>thermal</em> (heating) exposures. The SAR for laptops, printers, routers and tablets should be less than 1.6 W/kg for any 1 gram of tissue, as measured 20 cm (~8 inches) from the device. Because of the inverse square law if devices are used closer than 8 inches to the body, exposures grow exponentially. Thus, at 5 inches it could increase 16-fold, and at less than one inch (<em>i.e. on your lap</em>) it could increase 100-fold.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Most people, especially children and young adults, carry and use their wireless devices directly against their bodies. Consumers do not know that using a laptop on your lap, clutching an ipad to your chest or tucking a cell phone in your pocket or bra is potentially unsafe and could result in the user getting radiation exposures that exceed the standard. Since warnings are deep in the legal fine print of manuals and on manufacturer websites, they are often overlooked.

This was confirmed in the 2012 Government Accountability Office (GAO) study, which stated, “*Some consumers may use mobile phones against the body, which FCC does not currently test, and could result in RF energy exposure higher than the FCC limit.*” For example, cell phones are NOT tested touching the ear, but with a space between the ear and the phone.

What Can Schools Do?
Best Practice:

- Keep BYOD Devices on Airplane Mode with WIFI OFF.
- Inform Students, Parents and Staff of FCC Fine Print Warnings on Devices

Staff and students and parents need to be informed of this information concerning federal regulations. This information can be incorporated into the Student Handbook and detailed in the Bring Your Own Device Policies that many schools are developing. Schools can teach students and staff ways to minimize exposures and develop policies that minimize radiation exposures from the devices students bring in.

Important Note: Federal guidelines were set only for thermal effects. Biological effects are not considered in these guidelines. Furthermore, compliance with current guidelines does not necessarily mean that children and pregnant women are protected from thermal effects as their absorption rates were not considered during the development of standards. Research is raising serious safety issues concerning these current guidelines.
Current Exposure Guidelines Were Not Set To Protect Children

“Parents would never give their children pesticides or jet fuel to play. These agents are also classified along with cellphone and wireless radiation as possible human carcinogens by the WHO. Around the world we are paying the price now for having delayed actions on tobacco and asbestos after insisting on human harm before taking action. We cannot afford to wait for definitive proof of human risks from radiation emitted by wireless transmitting devices before taking steps to reduce exposures. The absence of evidence of hazard is not proof of safety.”—Devra Davis PhD MPH, President of Environmental Health Trust


“The International Agency for Research on Cancer (IARC), part of the United Nations’ World Health Organization, said in June 2011 that a family of frequencies that includes mobile-phone emissions is “possibly carcinogenic to humans.” …These studies and others clearly demonstrate the need for further research into this area and highlight the importance of reassessing current policy to determine if it is adequately protective of human health.”

-Dr. Thomas K. McInerny, President of the American Academy of Pediatrics, in the AAP Submission to the FCC on August 29, 2013 calling for more protective guidelines in the USA.

“The Royal Society panel has failed in it’s obligation to the public. It ignored recent evidence that wireless radiation is a probable carcinogen,” said Dr. Anthony Miller, Professor Emeritus of the Dalla Lana School of Public Health in his review of the Royal Society’s 2014 Safety Code 6 Review.

2. Current testing procedures do not consider smaller size of children and teen brains.
   - The World Health Organization cites the study, “Exposure Limits: The underestimation of absorbed cell phone radiation, especially in children,” (Gandhi et al, 2011), which documents how the industry-designed process for evaluating microwave radiation from phones results in children absorbing twice the cell phone radiation to their heads, up to triple in their brain’s hippocampus and hypothalamus, greater absorption in their eyes, and as much as 10 times more in their bone marrow when compared to adults.

3. Current exposure standards were set to protect against heating injury only. They do not protect against other mechanisms of action nor against chronic low level exposures such as daily exposure to wireless radiation.

“The FCC’s current exposure guidelines, as well of those of the Institute of Electrical and Electronics Engineers (IEEE) and the International Commission on Non ionizing Radiation Protection (ICNRP), are thermally based, and do not apply to chronic, non-thermal exposure situations. They are believed to protect against injury that may be caused by acute exposures that result in tissue heating or electric shock and burn…” Norbert Hankin of the EPA 2002

DID YOU KNOW?
1. Microwave absorption tests use a liquid filled plastic head representing a 200 pound man.
2. The FDA and the EPA have not done a current Human Health Risk Assessment.
3. The FCC review of US RF guidelines includes more than 900 submissions to the docket.
Concerns About Current Microwave Exposure Guidelines
Countries like Switzerland, Italy, France, Austria, Luxembourg, Bulgaria, Poland, Hungary, Israel, Russia and China have set exposure limits 100 to 10,000 times less than the USA and Canada

“The electromagnetic radiation standards used by the Federal Communications Commission continue to be based on thermal heating, a criterion now nearly 30 years out of date and inapplicable today… Laboratory studies have raised concerns about impacts of low-level, non-thermal electromagnetic radiation… on domestic chicken embryos- with some lethal results”

“The FCC [submissions on wireless radiation]..reveal what we know about wireless radiation health effects, and why we need to strengthen regulations and provide precautionary warnings to the public.” Dr. Joel Moskowitz, School of Public Health, UC Berkeley CA

“The FCC admits its own lack of expertise in the field. But the overlap of federal agency responsibilities for RF radiation protection and the merely advisory status of the Radiofrequency Interagency Work Group often leaves leadership unclear and encourages a pass-the-buck attitude…” - The Cities of Boston and Philadelphia 11/18/2013 Submission to the FCC Docket 18-34.

“Current standards do not account for children's higher RF exposures and greater health risks... The FCC should reject claims that there is no evidence pointing to potential harm from exposure to cell phone radiation. There are now numerous studies suggesting that RF exposure at current exposure levels could have negative health effects raising questions about the adequacy of current standards.”
- The Environmental Working Group 2013 Submission to the FCC.

In Canada, Safety Code 6 that covers wireless radiation is “an archaic federal guideline that is allowing Canada’s globally envied health care system to ignore our biggest modern health threat… There is nothing less than the future of our children at stake.” - Frank Clegg, Former Microsoft Canada President and founder of Canadians for Safe Technology.

"...the FCC's cell phone emission levels and federal standards are based solely on radiation absorbed by adults. The FCC's 1.6 W Kg SAR standard is modeled on an adult head. This exposure standard leaves very little safety margin to account for the extra sensitivity of children. As a result, the FCC standards do not ensure that a child using a cell phone does not absorb an amount of radiation above the maximum allowed limits." -The City of San Francisco's 11/1/2013 Submission to the FCC.

Health organizations that called on the US FCC in 2013 to re-evaluate current exposure guidelines on radio frequency radiation include: The Breast Cancer Fund, Healthy Child Healthy World, Black Women for Wellness, Breast Cancer Action, The Center for Health Environment and Justice, Teens Turning Green, Science and Environmental Health Network, California Brain Tumor Association and Environmental Health Trust.

“Many bioeffects and adverse health effects occur at far lower levels of RF exposure than those that cause measurable heating; some effects are shown to occur at several hundred thousand times below the existing public safety limits, which are set based on the fallacious assumption that there are no adverse health effects at exposures that do not cause easily measurable heating.” - Prof. David O. Carpenter, MD, Director of the Institute for Health and the Environment, University at Albany, co-author of the Bioinitiave Report 2012.

on a belt or in a po
**U.S. President’s Cancer Panel Voices Concern**

The 2009 U.S. President’s Cancer Panel pointed to cell phones and other wireless technologies as potential causes of cancer. In its recommendations, the panel stated:

“Also, incidence of brain cancer, particularly glioma, and other types of cancer has increased concomitantly with use of cell phones and other modes of wireless communication. Although not all of the data are conclusive, this area should receive attention from the U.S. Government.” The panel recommended that people reduce their usage by making fewer and shorter calls, using hands-free devices so that the phone is not against the head, and refraining from keeping a phone cket.

“Even if cell phones raise the risk of cancer slightly, so many people are exposed that it could be a large public health burden.” – Dr. Ted Schettler, Director of the Science and Environmental Health Network.

**The USA EPA on Wireless Radiation.** “Wireless technology is still relatively new, and world-wide, researchers continue to study the effects of long-term exposure. To date, the scientific evidence linking long-term use of cell phones to cancer or other health effects is not conclusive. More research is needed to clarify the question of safety.” - [EPA Wireless Radiation Webpage on 3/2014](http://www.epa.gov/)

“Scientists continue to study the effects of long-term exposure to low levels of RF. If you are concerned, you can take these simple steps to reduce exposure to RF radiation:

Limit use - Reduce the number and length of your calls or time spent on a wireless device.

Use hands-free devices - Using hands-free devices keeps mobile phones away from your head. Increase distance between the wireless device and your body.” [EPA Webpage 8/20/14](http://www.epa.gov/)

**CDC**

“Along with many organizations worldwide, we recommend caution in cell phone use. If RF does cause health problems, kids who use cell phones may have a higher chance of developing these problems in the future.” The CDC website provides tips to the public on how to "reduce radio frequency radiation near your body.” CDC Website June 8, 2014. Subsequently modified on August 20, 2014 to remove the first sentence.

“We don’t know for sure if RF radiation from cell phones can cause health problems years later. The International Agency for Research on Cancer (IARC) has classified RF radiation as a “possible human carcinogen.” (A carcinogen is an agent that causes cancer.) Scientists are continuing to study the possible health effects of cell phone use. For example, the World Health Organization (WHO) is currently looking into how cell phones may affect: Some types of tumors, Our eyes, Sleep, Memory, Headaches, Acoustic Neuroma. [CDC Webpage 8/20/2014](http://www.cdc.gov/)

“Being exposed to some kinds of EMF may have some bad effects on humans, but scientists do not know for sure. Because of this, NIEHS recommends that people learn practical ways of reducing exposures to EMFs.” [National Institute of Environmental Health Sciences Webpage](http://www.niehs.nih.gov)

“There is consensus that additional research is warranted to address gaps in knowledge, such as the effects of cell phone use over the long-term and on pediatric populations. The World Health Organization’s International Agency for Research on Cancer Classified Radiofrequency Fields as Possibly Carcinogenic to Humans.” The [FDA](http://www.fda.gov/)

"The electromagnetic radiation standards used by the Federal Communications Commission (FCC) continue to be based on thermal heating, a criterion now nearly 30 years out of date and inapplicable today." - [The U.S. Department of Interior in a](http://www.niehs.nih.gov) [2014 Letter](http://www.fda.gov/).
John Wargo, Ph.D., professor of Environmental Risk and Policy at Yale University and lead author of the report, said, "The scientific evidence is sufficiently robust showing that cellular devices pose significant health risks to children and pregnant women. The weight of the evidence supports stronger precautionary regulation by the federal government. The cellular industry should take immediate steps to reduce emission of electromagnetic radiation (EMR) from phones and avoid marketing their products to children."

**Recommendations to the Federal Government:**
- Set exposure standards to protect human health.
- Evaluate cumulative exposure to radiofrequency radiation in pregnant women and children. Devices that contribute to total exposure include cell phones, cordless DECT (Digital Electronic Cordless Telecommunications) phones, wireless handsets, wireless headsets, wireless routers, Bluetooth devices, wireless alarm systems, etc.

**Recommendations to Individuals**
- Reduce your exposure to wireless radiation sources.
- Learn about EMF emissions from other wireless devices in your life, including computers, laptops, routers, DECT phones, etc.
- Try to minimize your cumulative exposure to these devices.

---

**ACOG**: Environmental Chemicals Harm Reproductive Health
**Ob-Gyns Advocate for Policy Changes to Protect Health**

September 23, 2013

**Washington, DC** -- Toxic chemicals in the environment harm our ability to reproduce, negatively affect pregnancies, and are associated with numerous other long-term health problems, according to The American College of Obstetricians and Gynecologists (ACOG) and the American Society for Reproductive Medicine (ASRM). In a joint Committee Opinion, The College and ASRM urge Ob-Gyns to advocate for government policy changes to identify and reduce exposure to toxic environmental agents.

"Lawmakers should require the US Environmental Protection Agency and industry to define and estimate the dangers that aggregate exposure to harmful chemicals pose to pregnant women, infants, and children and act to protect these vulnerable populations," said Jeanne A. Conry, MD, PhD, president of ACOG.

**The Wingspread Statement: A Vision for Protecting Children’s Environmental Health**

10/2014 The Children's Environmental Health Network convened a diverse group of leaders from science, non-profit advocacy, environmental justice, child health, urban planning, academia, public health, public policy and law.

"We unanimously support the following principles: All children have the right to breathe clean air, eat safe and healthful food, drink pure water, and enjoy toys and products free from environmental health and safety threats; All children have the right to healthy, safe, and secure homes, childcare facilities, schools, and communities; All children and their parents and caretakers have the right to know about proven and potential hazards to their environmental health and safety and to protection from these threats."
PRESS RELEASE N° 208 31 May 2011
IARC CLASSIFIES RADIOFREQUENCY ELECTROMAGNETIC FIELDS AS POSSIBLY CARCINOGENIC TO HUMANS

Lyon, France, May 31, 2011 -- The WHO/International Agency for Research on Cancer (IARC) has classified radiofrequency electromagnetic fields as possibly carcinogenic to humans (Group 2B), based on an increased risk for glioma, a malignant type of brain cancer, associated with wireless phone use.

Background: Over the last few years, there has been mounting concern about the possibility of adverse health effects resulting from exposure to radiofrequency electromagnetic fields, such as those emitted by wireless communication devices. The number of mobile phone subscriptions is estimated at 5 billion globally.

From May 24–31 2011, a Working Group of 31 scientists from 14 countries has been meeting at IARC in Lyon, France, to assess the potential carcinogenic hazards from exposure to radiofrequency electromagnetic fields. These assessments will be published as Volume 102 of the IARC Monographs.

“This classification justifies the implementation of the Precautionary Principle...confirms the existence of non-thermal effects that can cause health risk and indicates that current safety standards are insufficient to protect health of the users.”
- Dr. Darius Leszynski, member of the Expert Panel of the WHO’s EMF Working Group in Column 23.

“The IARC Monographs classification of Radiofrequency Electromagnetic Fields (RF-EMF) covers the entire radiofrequency segment of the electromagnetic spectrum (30 kHz-300 GHz) including ...WiFi stations...” --Robert A Baan PhD, IARC, 2012

Since the IARC classification in 2011, research has continued to show links to biological effects at low levels, well below current exposure standards and government regulations. Many scientists have stated that as of 2014, criteria has been met for a higher carcinogenic risk classification and they are calling for precautions so citizens can minimize exposure.

"The proper designation now, if a working group were to meet, would be category 2 ... making it a probable human carcinogen ... as you increase radiofrequency fields in our environment you will, in fact, increase the hazard." -Dr. Anthony Miller of the Dalla Lana School of Public Health, and IARC Advisor, in his Testimony to the Toronto Council in November 2013.

What is the US doing? The only research underway is a rodent study by the US National Toxicology Program on chronic low level wireless frequencies. Results are pending.
Medical Associations Calling for Precautions

The American Academy of Pediatrics (AAP)
“Protect children’s health and well-being. Children are not little adults and are disproportionately impacted by all environmental exposures, including cell phone radiation. Current FCC standards do not account for the unique vulnerability and use patterns specific to pregnant women and children.” -From their 2013 Letter to the FCC where the AAP called for a reassessment of radiation standards and the adoption of standards that are “protective of children” and “reflect current use patterns.” The AAP also stated that “the current metric of RF exposure available to consumers, the Specific Absorption Rate, is not an accurate predictor of actual exposure.”

The AAP has also called for federal funds for increased research and consumer labeling in their December 12, 2012 Letter to Dennis Kucinich in Support of the Cell Phone Right to Know Act stating that “concerns have been raised that long-term RF energy exposure at this level affects the brain and other tissues and may be connected to types of brain cancer, including glioma and meningioma.”

The Austrian Medical Association:
"Wi-Fi environments will lead to high microwave exposure for students and teachers which might increase the burden of oxidative stress. Oxidative stress might slow down the energy production especially in brain cells and may lead e.g. to concentration difficulties and memory problems in certain individuals. The Austrian Medical Association recommends Wi-Fi free school environments.”- Gerd Oberfeld, MD, Public Health Department, Salzburg, Austria, on behalf of the Austrian Medical Association.

The American Academy of Environmental Medicine
“The peer reviewed, scientific literature demonstrates the correlation between RF exposure and neurological, cardiac, and pulmonary disease as well as reproductive and developmental disorders, immune dysfunction, cancer and other health conditions. It is better to exercise caution and substitute with a safe alternate such as a wired connection. While more research is being conducted, children must be protected. Wired technology is not only safer, it also stronger and more secure.”

The Cancer Association of South Africa
"Giving children cellphones and other wireless technology devices carries enormous risks” warns Michael Herbst, Head of Health at CANSA. “The South African public is totally involved with technology but do not seem to be aware of the inherent dangers.”

Switzerland Physicians for the Environment
“From the medical point of view, it is urgent to apply the precautionary principle for mobile telephony, wifi, etc.” - Dr. Peter Kälin, President of Physicians for the Environment, 1,500 physicians in Switzerland.

Irish Doctors Environmental Association and The International Society of Doctors for the Environment
Both Organizations state that there is sufficient scientific evidence to warrant more stringent controls on electromagnetic radiation and they recommend:

- Avoid Wi-Fi in home or work if possible, particularly in schools or hospitals.
- Use wired technology whenever possible.
- Measure the radiation levels at sites which are occupied for prolonged periods, particularly by infants or young children.
- Base stations should not be located on or near [500m] schools or hospitals.

The European Environment Agency Report “Late Lessons from Early Warnings, Volume II” 2013 Report details the accumulating science on radio frequency radiation, the significant risks of waiting and critical need to take precautionary action to reduce exposures to avoid widespread harm.
“If we want to wait for final proof, at least in terms of cancer, it may still take 20 years and the issue will become that we will not have unexposed population to act as control. *We may never have the absolute final proof.* But we have enough data to go ahead with a precautionary principle.” - Annie Sasco, MD, DSc Director, Epidemiology for Cancer Prevention, INSERM, Formerly International Agency for Research on Cancer, Unit Chief of Epidemiology for Cancer Prevention, Testimony to [Canadian Parliament, 2012](http://example.com).

**Russian National Committee on Non-Ionizing Radiation Protection**

“Our Committee and I are personally against the use of Wi-Fi systems in schools. Professor Yury Grigoriev has the same opinion. The reason is that it forms a very complex form of electromagnetic field, but in this case the probability of biological effect is higher than when the same total dose is created by one source of unmodulated electromagnetic field. This pattern is for non-thermal electromagnetic fields. There are very good studies that have shown that prolonged exposure to low-intensity radio waves in children disturbed cognitive function, and we trust this research. “ - Professor Dr. Oleg Grigoriev, PhD, Director of the Russian Centre for Electromagnetic Safety and Vice-Chairman of the RCNIRP. Professor Yury Grigoriev, Dr. of Medical Science, Chairman of the Russian National Committee on Non-Ionizing Radiation Protection (RCNIRP).

“Having done experiments on cellular model systems we have found an effect from electromagnetic radiation from WiFi. I have strongly suggested for years now that they should be used only if absolutely necessary in the home and not at all in schools. There is no reason for having WiFi in schools since there is an alternative - wired connections which are safer and faster”. - [Professor Lukas H. Margaritis, PhD, Professor Emeritus of Cell Biology and Radiobiology, Dept of Cell Biology and Biophysics, University of Athens, Greece](http://example.com).

“To my opinion, which is based on 25-year research of non-thermal effects of microwaves, usage of Wi-Fi and cell/mobile/smart phones in the classroom should be either forbidden or reduced as much as possible. I believe that the majority of scientists with long lasting experience in this scientific field are of the same opinion. “ - Dr Belyaev, Head Research Scientist, Cancer Research Institute, Slovak Academy of Science, Slovak Republic; Associate Professor in Toxicological Genetics, Faculty of Natural Science, Stockholm University, Sweden.

“The concerns raised regarding the unnecessary and prolonged exposure of children to near-field radiofrequency electromagnetic radiation (RF-EMR) from mobile phones, wireless laptops (on their laps), and nearby Wi-Fi transmitters in schools are shared by many. A precautionary approach is realistically achieved without compromising convenience and safety.” - Dr Vini G. Khurana, Asst. Professor of Neurosurgery, Australian National University Medical School; Currently Visiting Attending Neurosurgeon, Royal Melbourne Hospital.

For more information on scientists documentation please see the [SAFE SCHOOLS 2012 Document](http://example.com).
Answers to Commonly Asked Questions
Children and Wireless Radiation

Q: Is wireless technology safe?
A: Wi-Fi and all radiofrequency radiation has been on the World Health Organization’s Class 2B List of Possible Human Carcinogens for more than three years (since May 2011) based on research showing increased brain cancer in heavy users (30 minutes per day) with over 10 years of use. Lead and DDT are also included on this list. Recent research using computer-generated signals from working cell phones finds that this radiation increases damage to human sperm and offspring of animals exposed prematurely. These effects are not taken into account when standards are set for these devices.

Q: What is known about safety for children?
A: Children are not little adults. Children’s skulls are thinner and tissues of a child’s head, including the bone marrow and the eye, absorb significantly more energy than those in an adult head. It is scientifically accepted that children are more vulnerable to the biological effects of microwave exposure than adults due to their rapidly developing body systems. Children’s stem cells are more affected by microwave radiation.

No studies show that microwave radiation exposure in children is safe or that continuous exposure from cell phones, cell towers, cordless phones, Wi-Fi routers, baby monitors, etc. is safe.

Q: Is cell phone radiation the same as Wi-Fi radiation?
A: Commonly used cell phone and Wi-Fi signal frequencies are all classified as radiofrequency radiation. Dr. Robert Baan, a member of the International Agency for the Research on Cancer, has stated that the Class 2B Carcinogen status “holds for all types of radiation within the radiofrequency part of the electromagnetic spectrum, including the radiation emitted by base-station antennas, radio/TV towers, radar and Wi-Fi.”

Q: Are children at increased risk?
A: Due to their unique vulnerabilities children are thought to be at increased risk. Current research provides evidence. For example, Dr. Lennart Hardell and his research team at Orebro University in Sweden showed that children who start using a mobile phone as teenagers develop 4 to 8 times more brain cancers than those who began to use phones later in life. Experimental studies are showing significant neurological changes from exposure to microwave radiation levels that meet our FCC guidelines. Environmental exposures at critical periods can have profound effects on fetal and childhood development.

Q: Don’t organizations such as the WHO, FDA, CDC, National Cancer Institute and the EPA say Wi-Fi is safe?
A: Representatives from these agencies do NOT declare that wireless is safe. They say there is inconclusive evidence and that “more research” is needed. The Center for Disease Control recommends “caution,” and includes advice about how to reduce exposure, as does the FCC.

For most environmental hazards proof comes in the form of epidemiological studies of past conditions. As an example, how the WHO classified outdoor air pollution as a Class 1 Carcinogen in September 2013 citing research indicating that in 2010 3.2 million deaths worldwide resulted from air pollution. When asked why it had taken so long to reach this conclusion, IARC director Dr. Christopher Wild said, “Often we’re looking at two, three or four decades once an exposure is introduced before there is sufficient impact on the burden of cancer in the population to be able to study this type of question.” Similar to many other carcinogens, undeniable proof may not be available for decades.
The EPA states, “More research is needed to clarify the question of safety.” No medical organization that we know of has declared this radiation safe.

Q: Hasn’t our government set safety standards based on a scientific review of the evidence?
A: The EPA and the FDA have never done a comprehensive scientific review of this radiation to set standards that protect human health. Current exposure standards were developed in 1993 when wireless technology was not widespread as it is today.

Currently, the FCC is the agency that ensures compliance with radiofrequency exposure standards in this country. However, the FCC is not a medical nor science agency. Current standards have not been reviewed for over 18 years in the United States. EHT and many other experts in the field are calling the current standards outdated and inadequate to protect human health.

The CDC is not charged with monitoring this issue either. In fact they were recently briefed on the issue. Please see "Mobile Phone Use and Cancer Risk: Research on a Group 2B Carcinogen" Joel Moskowitz, Webinar for CDC Workgroup on Cancer Prevention (Oct 29, 2014)

Q: I heard that the overwhelming majority of studies that have been published in scientific journals around the world show that wireless microwave radiation is not a health risk. Please explain this.
A: Actually the human evidence for risk has increased. Since 2011, several major epidemiologic studies provide further evidence that long-term mobile phone use is associated with increased risk of glioma (a type of malignant brain tumor) and acoustic neuroma (a nonmalignant tumor of the nerve that connects the ear to the brain). Moreover, tumor risks increase with the amount and duration of mobile phone use and are stronger on the side of the head where the mobile phone was predominantly used.

If you set aside the industry-funded studies, the overwhelming weight of the evidence shows there is a significant problem. In addition to experimental research on cancer, there are over 1000 peer reviewed, published papers showing other harmful biological effects from microwave radiation exposure such as damage to sperm, pregnancy and nervous systems.

Q: What is the problem with current exposure standards?
A: There are several reasons that current FCC standards are considered inadequate:
- They are out of date, having been set in 1993
- The guidelines were based solely on preventing thermal effects, i.e. heating. Yet numerous peer-reviewed, published studies report non-thermal effects indicating biological changes from exposure to non-thermal radiation levels.
- The guidelines do not account for exposure to multiple sources and only consider 30 minutes of exposure from one device at a time.
- The guidelines do not consider research showing that current cell phones can produce “hotspots” in the brains, and are, thus, not in fact non-thermal.
- The guidelines were based on an adult male body’s absorption of radiation. Children’s smaller bodies and brains were not considered in the metrics.
• The guidelines consider average exposures, not peak exposures. Research suggests our biology is affected by the erratic nature of the signal and that cells respond to short intense bursts of radiation even if the average over time seems low.

According to the EPA, “The FCC’s current exposure guidelines are thermally based and do not apply to chronic, non thermal exposure situations.” Wireless device use and Wi-Fi router radiation in the classroom is typically chronic, non-thermal radiation for the 1080 hours a child is in school each year.

Q: Are scientists and medical organizations concerned about how the inadequate standards might impact public health?
A: Yes. The American Academy of Pediatrics has called on the FCC to “Protect children’s health and wellbeing,” stating that “Children are not little adults and are disproportionately impacted by all environmental exposures, including cell phone radiation. Current FCC standards do not account for the unique vulnerability and use patterns specific to pregnant women and children.”

In 2014, researchers and scientists from around the world made a Declaration that Canadian Guidelines (which are similar to US guidelines) are inadequate to protect citizens. This comes after dozens of such declarations over the years. Please see our briefing book for details on each of these declarations.

In February of 2014, the US Department of the Interior accused the US Federal government of employing outdated wireless radiation standards stating, “the electromagnetic radiation standards used by the Federal Communications Commission (FCC) continue to be based on thermal heating, a criterion now nearly 30 years out of date and inapplicable today.”

Q: How are these exposure limits made?
The limits set for manufacturers use a plastic, liquid filled, computer model based on a 220-pound mannequin. Most of the population, including children, the fetus and women, are not represented by this model. Significant research is making the point that such models do not adequately represent how the radiation penetrates young brains. In addition research suggests that living brains can develop hot spots from the radiation. The plastic mannequin model does not account for such vulnerabilities and cannot measure this hot spot issue because the liquid is of a uniform thickness unlike real brains comprised of different densities of tissues.

Q: How are wireless devices tested?
A: The FCC regulates that tablets and laptops are tested while placed at 20 cm away from the body. That is about 8 inches. This means that at this distance of 20 cm the device will not exceed thermally based federal guidelines for radiation exposure. However if you use the device closer to your body you could potentially be exposed to levels that exceed these federal guidelines. This means your tissue could heat up.

Q: Wouldn’t companies be required to warn us about these radiation issues?
A: Every major manufacturer of wireless devices in the world issues warnings to keep their devices away from direct contact with the body. However, these fine print warnings are buried in the fine print of manuals or within operating systems and most parents and children are not aware the warnings exist.

iPad: “... to be sure that human exposure to RF energy does not exceed the FCC, IC, and European Union guidelines, always follow these instructions and precautions: Orient the device in portrait mode with the Home button at the bottom of the display, or in landscape mode with the cellular antenna (located under the black edge at the top of the device) away from your body or other objects...”
Q: So if my children use the wireless laptop at the manufacturer's recommended 20 cm distance on a table will they be safe?

A: The 20 cm measurement only pertains to avoiding thermal heating risks for adults. It does not protect children from biological effects. There is no scientifically established safe level nor scientifically recommended distance to protect children from a lifetime of exposure to multiple Wifi devices that can have non-thermal effects on their reproductive and nervous systems.

Exposure is cumulative. Low doses add up over a lifetime. Scientists have never evaluated the total cumulative exposures of children in a classroom with numerous operating devices held on their laps or bodies, much less a school with dozens of classrooms with routers and personal devices in use. There is no government agency, federal or municipal, that currently has the mandate, ability or resources to measure the cumulative effects of wireless radiation from multiple sources at home, work or school with Wi-Fi, cell phones, tablets, home cordless phones.

When it comes to safety considerations, using cords or cables for ethernet connectivity with Wi-Fi features turned off and materials already downloaded to the device. This eliminates the risk from this microwave exposure.

Q: Is the Wi-Fi technology being used in schools the same as what is being used in most homes today?

A: No. Wi-Fi systems in school districts are usually much higher strength because they are designed to operate hundreds of computers simultaneously, unlike home based consumer systems that only operate a handful of computers.

Q: Should we be concerned about using Wi-Fi at home as well as at school?

A: A child working on a wireless device in a home will be absorbing the radiation from the device. We recommend using cords or cables for non-wireless connections whenever possible. Cell phones can be lifesaving tools and critical technology when we are traveling. However, our homes, like schools, are an example of a space where we can easily prefer non-wireless internet connections as we have specific locations where we use our computers.

Q: Wireless is everywhere. Are there really actions that will make a difference?

A: Children spend most of their time at home sleeping and at school learning. By making simple changes such as using cords and cables for computers and keeping devices on Airplane mode, a child’s daily exposure will be significantly reduced. Since exposure is cumulative and children will have a lifetime to be exposed, such reduction actions will make a tremendous difference.

Q: What are the everyday sources of microwave radiation in our home?

A: Each electronic product that can wirelessly send voice, images, or data to another product or network emits microwave radiation. In addition to cell phones, here are some examples of devices that emit microwave radiation: tablets, laptops, digital baby monitors, cordless phones, printers, iPod Touch, wireless tv service, signal boosters, portable internet sticks, projectors, wireless gaming consoles, cordless keyboards, cordless speakers, “smart” watches, wifi or bluetooth fitness wristbands, and even your cordless computer mouse is a strong emitter. If a device can “sync” with your phone without a cord, then the device is wireless. Wireless radiation can be an emission from any size of communication device, computer, appliance, monitor, sensor, wearable, etc. Microwave ovens should be tested for leaks (See our website for a simple test)
Q: I am not ready to give up my wifi, is there anything I can do?
A: You can significantly reduce your exposure by simply turning the wireless off (power off) when not in use, just as you would any appliance. Be sure to turn your router, cell phones, gaming consoles and all wireless devices off at bedtime. In addition, consider where the wifi router (and any other wifi source) is located and be sure that it is not near bedrooms or living spaces where any person (or pet) spends a large amount of time. Walls don’t block wireless radiation.

You also can contact your internet provider, who can at no charge remotely turn down the signal strength. Often the internet provider can explain how you can control that signal strength yourself online. Some routers are set to pump out radiation strong enough to reach 100 meters in all directions, but this is usually not necessary in our homes. If you talk to your neighbors about turning their signal strength down and more often off, this is a win-win as it will reduce your and their wifi exposures and extend the battery life of your devices and yourself.

Q: Wi-Fi router radiation levels are so low. How is this any different than the electromagnetic radiation that has been around since the Earth began?
A: Our society has never before been exposed to the current levels of microwave radiation in our workplaces, homes and schools today. Wi-Fi emits levels of radiofrequency microwave radiation millions of times higher than what our parents and grandparents ever experienced. Wireless routers in classrooms are like bringing mini cell towers into classrooms. They connect to each device in the room as a cell tower connects to each phone. Routers also are constantly putting out radiation as they check in with all the devices in the room.

Q: Considering the number of people using wireless devices, shouldn’t we be seeing an increase in brain tumor incidence, and we are not?
A: Cancer registries in the United States and several other countries are now showing some increased rates of brain cancer. Younger persons who have begun to use cell phones as teenagers are at the highest risk. The incidence of the worst brain cancer, glioblastoma, has increased in the United States and Denmark (Morgan et al, 2014). An Australian study has shown an overall significant increase in primary malignant brain tumors from 2000 to 2008, particularly since 2004. Another recent study (Zada et al, 2012) shows an increase in brain tumors in three major cancer registries in the United States. The increase seen is in the frontal and temporal lobes, which are the two regions closest to where a cell phone is typically held.

There is a long latency period for brain tumors – from 5 to as long as 50 years. Since cell phones have only been widely used in the US for two decades, the full increase in cancer rates is still unknown. Rates of autism, ADHD, diabetes, food allergies and immune diseases are skyrocketing, and it is understood that this increase cannot be due to genetics alone as the genome does not change in two decades. Environmental factors are increasingly thought to be behind this sharp rise. Like air pollution and chemical exposures, electromagnetic fields have been shown to increase stress on biological systems and impact the developing brain. Some research is pointing to a synergistic effect between toxic exposures such as lead and electromagnetic fields.

The 2011 IARC classification of cell phone radiation as a possible carcinogen was based on the evidence from human studies (European Interphone group and Hardell group in Sweden) and animal studies. Since that evaluation, in 2014, a new epidemiological study – the French CERENAT study was published. This new study reached similar conclusions as Interphone and Hardell – long term avid use of cell phone increases a risk of developing brain cancer. This means we have three replications studies that all suggest cell phone radiation might increase a risk of brain cancer. These studies showing that over ten years use of cell phone at 30 minutes a day leads to an increased risk of brain cancer should be taken as a serious warning sign.
The Environmental Health Trust supports the appropriate use of technology in classrooms and applauds the creative use of digital resources. The use of technology and access to the internet does not require the constant exposure of children to microwave radiation. Cords and cables as wireline (non-wireless) connections are the safest option for internet access.
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Selected Examples of Research for Health Effects

Please click on the underlined links to go to the online abstract.

Reproductive Effects

- Our analyses indicate negative associations between mobile phone exposure on sperm viability and motility. The effects on concentration are more equivocal. Further research is required to quantify these effects more precisely and to evaluate the clinical importance of the risk to both sub-fertile men and the general population.


- “Radiofrequency electromagnetic waves emitted from cell phones may lead to oxidative stress in human semen. We speculate that keeping the cell phone in a trouser pocket in talk mode may negatively affect spermatozoa and impair male fertility.”


- Significant increases in serum 8-hydroxy-2’-deoxyguanosine levels and 8-hydroxyguanosine staining in the testes of the experimental group indicating DNA damage due to exposure (p < 0.05).


- Ex vivo exposure of human spermatozoa to a wireless internet-connected laptop decreased motility and induced DNA fragmentation by a nonthermal effect.


- The epithelial height and diameter of the epididymis in 3 watt and 6 watt groups (2 weeks, 2 h a day with a frequency of 950 MHZ) had a significant decrease, the testosterone level only in 6 watt group was significantly decreased. The rate of apoptosis in the epithelial cells of the epididymis had a significant increase in 6 watt group.

- The **epididymis** is a tube connecting the ducts from each testicle to its vas deferens.

- “This study showed that the microwaves with the frequency of 950 MHz can have negative impacts on morphometric and apoptotic changes of rabbit epididymis.’


- In step with increasing SAR, motility and vitality were significantly reduced after RF-EMR exposure,
while the mitochondrial generation of reactive oxygen species and DNA fragmentation were significantly elevated (P<0.001). Furthermore, we also observed highly significant relationships between SAR, the oxidative DNA damage bio-marker, 8-OH-dG, and DNA fragmentation after RF-EMR exposure.

- “These findings have clear implications for the safety of extensive mobile phone use by males of reproductive age, potentially affecting both their fertility and the health and wellbeing of their offspring”.


- “This review identifies the plasma membrane as a target of RF-EMW. In addition, the effects of RF-EMW on plasma membrane structures (i.e. NADH oxidase, phosphatidylserine, ornithine decarboxylase) and voltage-gated calcium channels are discussed.
- We explore the disturbance in reactive oxygen species (ROS) metabolism caused by RF-EMW and delineate NADH oxidase mediated ROS formation as playing a central role in oxidative stress (OS) due to cell phone radiation (with a focus on the male reproductive system).
- This review also addresses: 1) the controversial effects of RF-EMW on mammalian cells and sperm DNA as well as its effect on apoptosis, 2) epidemiological, in vivo animal and in vitro studies on the effect of RF-EMW on male reproductive system, and 3) finally, exposure assessment and dosimetry by computational biomodeling”.


- Sperm viability, motility, and total antioxidant capacity in all exposure groups decreased significantly compared to the control group (p<0.05).
- Increasing the duration of exposure from 2 to 3 weeks caused a statistically significant decrease in sperm viability and motility (p<0.05).
- "CONCLUSION: Exposure to cell phone waves can decrease sperm viability and motility in rats. These waves can also decrease sperm total antioxidant capacity in rats and result in oxidative stress."


- Exposure: 30 minutes every 24 hours pregnancy.
- “decreased number of follicles in pups exposed to mobile phone microwaves suggest that intrauterine exposure has toxic effects on ovaries.”
- “microwaves of mobile phones might decrease the number of follicles in rats by several known and, no doubt, countless unknown mechanisms.”


- Review of Research: “The results showed that human spermatozoa exposed to RF-EMR have decreased motility, morphometric abnormalities, and increased oxidative stress, whereas men using
mobile phones have decreased sperm concentration, decreased motility (particularly rapid progressive motility), normal morphology, and decreased viability. These abnormalities seem to be directly related to the duration of mobile phone use.”


- “For the first time in the history of mankind, because of aggressive use of mobile phones children are exposed to harmful non ionizing radiation and potentially are subject of larger risk than adults. Even if the dose received by the children’s brain is the same as for adults, due to the specificity of the body size and physiological development, children are in greater danger.”
- We should stop telling the science, politicians and general population that Wi-Fi is harmless... We should better be honest and say that “we do not know what long-term effects might be.”
- “the continuous non-controlled exposure of the entire civilization to low-intensity EMFs represents now more serious problem for the mankind than ionizing radiation where the sources of radiation are under strict control and are well localized”


- "In the present study we showed that microwave radiations used in modern mobile telecommunications can damage DNA and induce cell death or heritable mutations which may in turn result in reproduction decreases, degenerative diseases, or cancer. We analyzed the biophysical and biochemical mechanism underlying this biological impact, and discussed dosimetry and protection issues.
- All healthy organisms have defense mechanisms in order to repair biological damages. But defense mechanisms are weaker in children and old individuals, and become also weaker during sickness or during stress conditions. Although even the most serious biological effects may not necessarily lead to health effects in an exposed individual, all health effects are initiated by corresponding biological ones. Thereby, biological effects-especially the most serious ones as is DNA damage or cell death induction-may potentially lead to health effects.


- “the ovarian size of the exposed insects is significantly smaller than that of the corresponding sham-exposed insects, due to destruction of egg chambers by the GSM radiation, after DNA damage and consequent cell death induction in the egg chamber” cells of the virgin females as shown in previous experiments on inseminated females.
- “The difference in ovarian size between sham-exposed and exposed virgin female flies becomes most evident 39-45 h after eclosion when the first eggs within the ovaries are at the late vitellogenic and post-vitellogenic stages (mid-late oogenesis). More than 45 h after eclosion, the difference in ovarian size decreases, as the first mature eggs of the sham-exposed insects are leaving the ovaries and are laid.”
- Marko Markov and Yuri G. Grigoriev of the National Committee of Non-Ionizing

- Significant decrease in sperm count, sperm viability, decrease in seminiferous tubule diameter, degeneration of seminiferous tubules. Reduction in testicular 3β HSD activity and plasma testosterone levels and increased expression of testicular i-NOS was observed.
- These “adverse reproductive effects suggest that chronic exposure to microwave radiation may lead to infertility via free radical species-mediated pathway.”

**Cancer:**


- Radiofrequency electromagnetic fields are *possibly carcinogenic to humans* (Group 2B).” (p. 421)
- “Overall, the Working Group reviewed all the available evidence with regard to the use of wireless phones, including both mobile and cordless phones, and the risk of glioma. Time trends were considered, as were several early case–control studies and one cohort study. The evidence from these studies was considered less informative than the results of the INTERPHONE study and the Swedish case–control study. While both of these are susceptible to bias, the Working Group concluded that these findings could not be dismissed as reflecting bias alone, and that a causal interpretation was possible.”
- “In considering the evidence on acoustic neuroma, the Working Group considered the same methodological concerns as for glioma, but concluded that bias was not sufficient to explain the positive findings, particularly those of the study from Sweden.” (p. 412)


- “CERENAT is a multicenter case-control study carried out in four areas in France in 2004–2006. No association with brain tumours was observed when comparing regular mobile phone users with non-users. However, the positive association was statistically significant in the heaviest users when considering life-long cumulative duration for meningiomas and number of calls for gliomas Risks were higher for gliomas, temporal tumours, occupational and urban mobile phone use.
- These additional data support previous findings concerning a possible association between heavy mobile phone use and brain tumours.”

Morgan LL, Miller AB, Sasco A, Davis DL, **Mobile phone radiation causes brain tumors and should be classified as a probable human carcinogen (2A) (review).** Int J Oncol. 2015 May;46(5):1865-71. Epub 2015 Feb 25.
• The CERENAT finding of increased risk of glioma is consistent with studies that evaluated use of mobile phones for a decade or longer and corroborate those that have shown a risk of meningioma from mobile phone use.

• We conclude that radiofrequency fields should be classified as a Group 2A’ probable human carcinogen under the criteria used by the International Agency for Research on Cancer (Lyon, France). Additional data should be gathered on exposures to mobile and cordless phones, other WTDs, mobile phone base stations and Wi-Fi routers to evaluate their impact on public health.

• We advise that the as low as reasonable achievable (ALARA) principle be adopted for uses of this technology, while a major cross-disciplinary effort is generated to train researchers in bioelectromagnetics and provide monitoring of potential health impacts of RF-EMF.


• “Given that treatment for a single case of brain cancer can cost between $100,000 for radiation therapy alone and up to $1 million depending on drug costs, resources to address this illness are already in short supply and not universally available in either developing or developed countries. Significant additional shortages in oncology services are expected at the current growth of cancer. No other environmental carcinogen has produced evidence of an increased risk in just one decade...If the increased brain cancer risk found in young users in these recent studies does apply at the global level, the gap between supply and demand for oncology services will continue to widen. Many nations, phone manufacturers, and expert groups, advise prevention in light of these concerns by taking the simple precaution of “distance” to minimize exposures to the brain and body. We note than brain cancer is the proverbial “tip of the iceberg”; the rest of the body is also showing effects other than cancers.”


• We analysed survival of 1678 glioma patients in our 1997–2003 and 2007–2009 case-control studies. Use of wireless phones in the >20 years latency group (time since first use) was correlated to decreased survival for those diagnosed with astrocytoma grade IV.

• "Due to the relationship with survival the classification of IARC is strengthened and RF-EMF should be regarded as human carcinogen requiring urgent revision of current exposure guidelines."


• "Conclusion. We previously analysed the evidence on glioma associated with the use of wireless phones using the Hill criteria [20]. We concluded that glioma and also acoustic neuroma are caused by RF-EMF emissions from wireless phones, and thus regarded as carcinogenic, under Group 1 according to the IARC classification, indicating that current guidelines for exposure should be urgently revised. This pooled analysis gives further support to that conclusion regarding glioma.”

● For persons with more than 25 years latency period (time since first use until tumour diagnosis) a 3-fold increased risk was found. The risk increased further for tumours located in the most exposed area of the brain, the temporal lobe, to a 5-fold increased risk.

● “This study confirmed previous results of an association between mobile and cordless phone use and malignant brain tumours. These findings provide support for the hypothesis that RF-EMFs play a role both in the initiation and promotion stages of carcinogenesis”.


● In the multivariate analysis, a significantly increased risk of acoustic neuroma was found with the use of analogue phones.


● “Ipsilateral use resulted in a higher risk than contralateral for both mobile and cordless phones. OR increased per 100 h cumulative use and per year of latency for mobile phones and cordless phones, though the increase was not statistically significant for cordless phones. The percentage tumour volume increased per year of latency and per 100 h of cumulative use, statistically significant for analogue phones. This study confirmed previous results demonstrating an association between mobile and cordless phone use and acoustic neuroma.”


● An increased risk was found for glioma and use of mobile or cordless phone. The risk increased with latency time and cumulative use in hours and was highest in subjects with first use before the age of 20.


● “All nine issues on causation according to Hill were evaluated. The criteria on strength, consistency, specificity, temporality, and biologic gradient for evidence of increased risk for glioma and acoustic neuroma were fulfilled.

● Based on the Hill criteria, glioma and acoustic neuroma should be considered to be caused by RF-EMF emissions from wireless phones and regarded as carcinogenic to humans, classifying it as group 1
according to the IARC classification. Current guidelines for exposure need to be urgently revised.”


- “We give an overview of current epidemiological evidence for an increased risk for brain tumours including a meta-analysis of the Hardell group and Interphone results for mobile phone use. ..It is concluded that one should be careful using incidence data to dismiss results in analytical epidemiology. The IARC carcinogenic classification does not seem to have had any significant impact on governments’ perceptions of their responsibilities to protect public health from this widespread source of radiation”.

Lerchl et al., Tumor promotion by exposure to radiofrequency electromagnetic fields below exposure limits for humans, Biochemical and Biophysical Research Communications, Available online 6 March 2015.

- A replication study. “Numbers of tumors of the lungs and livers in exposed animals were significantly higher than in sham-exposed controls. In addition, lymphomas were also found to be significantly elevated by exposure. A clear dose–response effect is absent. We hypothesize that these tumor-promoting effects may be caused by metabolic changes due to exposure. Since many of the tumor-promoting effects in our study were seen at low to moderate exposure levels (0.04 and 0.4 W/kg SAR), thus well below exposure limits for the users of mobile phones, further studies are warranted to investigate the underlying mechanisms. Our findings may help to understand the repeatedly reported increased incidences of brain tumors in heavy users of mobile phones.”


- Survival was analyzed for 1678 glioma patients in Hardells1997–2003 and 2007–2009 case-control studies. "Elevated HR (decreased survival) for the most malignant glioma type, astrocytoma grade IV, was found for long-term use of mobile and cordless phones. Highest HR was found for cases with first use before the age of 20 years. These results indicate a survival disadvantage for use of wireless phones in that patient group".

- "The study strengthens the proposed causal association between use of mobile and cordless phones and glioma. Due to the relationship with survival the classification of IARC is strengthened and RF-EMF should be regarded as human carcinogen requiring urgent revision of current exposure guidelines”.


- International Cancer registries are showing a rise in brain cancer. Children absorb more microwave
radiation, a Class 2 B possible carcinogen than adults. The fetus is in greater danger than children from exposure to MWR. The legal exposure limits have remained unchanged for decades. Cellphone manuals warnings and the 20 cm rule for tablets/laptops violate the “normal operating position” regulation.

  
  - A Meta-Analysis- “The current study found that there is possible evidence linking mobile phone use to an increased risk of tumors from a meta-analysis of low-biased case-control studies. Prospective cohort studies providing a higher level of evidence are needed”.

**Hearing loss**


- Significant decrements of BDNF immunoreactivity were noted in the lateral superior olive, medial superior olive, superior paralivary nucleus and medial nucleus of the trapezoid body
- The decrease in the immunoreactivity of neurotrophic factors suggests a detrimental effect of RF exposure in the auditory nuclei.


- Brainstem evoked response audiometric (BERA) and pure tone audiometric (PTA) methods were used to measure the effects of exposure on hearing function of the subjects.
- Detection thresholds in those who talked approximately 2 h per day were found to be higher than those in either moderate users or control subjects.
- This study shows that a higher degree of hearing loss is associated with long-term exposure to electromagnetic (EM) field generated by cellular phones.


- 100 people who had used mobile phones for over a year suffered increases in the degree of hearing loss over the span of 12 months.
- The study discovered that people who used their phones for more than 60 minutes a day had a worse hearing threshold than those with less use.
- The authors warn users of cell phones to look out for ear symptoms such as ear warmth, ear fullness, and ringing in the ears (tinnitus) as early warning signs that you may have an auditory abnormality. They also suggest the use of earphones, which they found to be safer than holding a mobile phone up
to the ears.


- We observed weak associations between cell phone use and hearing loss at age 7, with odds ratios and 95% confidence intervals from the traditional logistic regression, MSM and DRE models being 1.21 [95% confidence interval [CI] 0.99, 1.46], 1.23 [95% CI 1.01, 1.49] and 1.22 [95% CI 1.00, 1.49], respectively.
- CONCLUSIONS: Our findings could have been affected by various biases and are not sufficient to conclude that cell phone exposures have an effect on hearing. This is the first large-scale epidemiologic study to investigate this potentially important association among children, and replication of these findings is needed.


- Prospective single blinded study that used high-frequency audiometry.
- This study showed that there is significant loss in the dominant ear compared to the non-dominant ear (P < 0.05). Chronic usage mobile phone revealed high frequency hearing loss in the dominant ear (mobile phone used) compared to the non dominant ear.

**Heart Function**


- MDA and NOx levels were increased significantly in liver, lung, testis and heart tissues of the exposed group compared to sham and control groups (p < 0.05).
- Results of our study showed that pulse-modulated RF radiation causes oxidative injury in liver, lung, testis and heart tissues mediated by lipid peroxidation, increased level of NOx and suppression of antioxidant defense mechanism.


- 10 of the subjects (40 percent) displayed increased heart rate, arrhythmias (irregular heartbeats, a.k.a. heart palpitations).
- Four subjects experienced overt tachycardia, or intense and prolonged heart racing, after a second or two of exposure, with one subject’s heart almost tripling its rate.
- Strong increases in sympathetic nervous activity and decreases in parasympathetic activity from exposures.

- Double blind, sham-controlled study documented an increased Heart Rate, altered HRV and changes in the sympathetic and parasympathetic control of the Autonomic Nervous System.
- “Our results demonstrate that the radiation from a 2.4-GHz cordless phone affects the ANS and may put some individuals with preexisting heart conditions at risk when exposed to electromagnetic frequencies to which they are sensitive.”


- This study investigated the effects on rat pup heart tissue of prenatal exposure to a 900 megahertz (MHz) EMF. Pregnant rats were divided into experimental (00 MHz EMF (1 h/d) on days 13-21 of pregnancy) and control groups. On postnatal day 21, heart tissues were extracted.
- Malondialdehyde, superoxide dismutase and catalase values were significantly higher in the experimental group rats, while glutathione values were lower. Light microscopy revealed irregularities in heart muscle fibers and apoptotic changes in the experimental group. Electron microscopy revealed crista loss and swelling in the mitochondria, degeneration in myofibrils and structural impairments in Z bands.
- Our study results suggest that exposure to EMF in the prenatal period causes oxidative stress and histopathological changes in male rat pup heart tissue.

**Headaches**


- “Exposure to cell phones prenatally-and, to a lesser degree, postnatally-was associated with behavioral difficulties such as emotional and hyperactivity problems around the age of school entry. These associations may be noncausal and may be due to unmeasured confounding. If real, they would be of public health concern given the widespread use of this technology.”


- “The study has shown that users of mobile phone and computer more often complained of headache, joint and bone pain, hearing loss, vertigo/dizziness, tension-anxiety symptoms according to time of daily usage (p < 0.05).”


- Children with cell phone exposure had higher odds of migraines and headache-related symptoms than children with no exposure.

- a questionnaire survey of 53 questions.
- Headaches were reported significantly more often by the people who talked frequently and long in comparison with other users
- Continuous headache, persisting for longer than 6 h since the end of a call, was reported by 26% of the subjects.
- Our results show that the mobile phone users may experience subjective symptoms, the intensity of which depends on the intensity of use of mobile phones.

**Neurotoxic Effects/Neurological Impacts:**


- A statistically significant decrease in the pyramidal cells of the hippocampus and an increase in dark cells.


- Results: A significant reduction in the total number of pyramidal cells in the cornu ammonis of the hippocampus, which involves short-term memory and learning.
- Sixteen-week old rats are comparable to the age of human teenagers.


- 30 min LTE 4G exposure modulated the spontaneous low frequency fluctuations in brain regions.


- Pregnant rats were exposed to different intensities of microwave radiation from cellular phones.
- Significant content differences were found in superoxide dismutase (SOD), glutathione peroxidase (GSH-Px), malondialdehyde (MDA), noradrenaline (NE), dopamine (DA) after fetal brains were assayed.
- “Through this study, we concluded that receiving a certain period of microwave radiation from cellular phones during pregnancy has certain harm on fetal rat brains.”

• Frequency of rearing was increased by 193.3%, revealing an increase in exploratory activity of the animals, which is also related to anxiety, depression, and stressful behavior.

• Our data corroborate that reported by Narayanan et al., 21 who showed in 2012 that animals exposed to mobile phone radiation had an increased frequency of rearing.


• Rats exposed to 2.45 GHz  60 min/day for 28 days had lower cortex brain vitamin A (p < 0.05), vitamin C (p < 0.01) and vitamin E (p < 0.05) concentrations.


• EMF radiation leads to increased permeability of the Blood Brain Barrier (BBB) at non-thermal exposure levels.

• Damaging effects from radiofrequency EMF upon neurons has been shown after 28 days and 50 days.

• “The human BBB is very similar to the rodent BBB... it is our sincere belief, that it is more probable than unlikely, that non-thermal EMF from mobile phones and base stations do have effects upon the human brain.


• Prenatal exposure caused a progressive postnatal decline in the number of granule cells of dentate gyrus of the hippocampus of offspring.


• In the rat experiments, there was a decrease in spatial memory performance after microwave exposure. Both microwave exposure and p-Syn I silencing reduced GABA release and maximal reduction was found for the combination of the two, indicating a synergetic effect.

• p-Syn I (ser-553) was found to play a key role in the impaired GABA release and cognitive dysfunction that was induced by microwave exposure.


• Mobile phone exposure was mostly associated with a decrease in the number of action potentials fired in spontaneous activity and in response to current injection in both male and female groups. There was
an increase in the amplitude of the afterhyperpolarization (AHP) in mobile phone rats compared with the control.

- The results of the passive avoidance and Morris water maze assessment of learning and memory performance showed that phone exposure significantly altered learning acquisition and memory retention in male and female rats compared with the control rats.
- Our results suggest that exposure to mobile phones adversely affects the cognitive performance of both female and male offspring rats using behavioral and electrophysiological techniques.


- “This review of RF-EMF peer-reviewed literature outlines the development of myelin through life, and then considers the evidence for an association between myelin integrity and exposure to low-intensity radiofrequency electromagnetic fields (RF-EMFs) typical in the modern world.
- There are surprisingly little data available in each area, but considered together a picture begins to emerge in RF-EMF-exposed cases: (1) significant morphological lesions in the myelin sheath of rats; (2) a greater risk of multiple sclerosis in a study subgroup; (3) effects in proteins related to myelin production; and (4) physical symptoms in individuals with functional impairment electrohypersensitivity, many of which are the same as if myelin were affected by RF-EMF exposure, giving rise to symptoms of demyelination. In the latter, there are exceptions; headache is common only in electrohypersensitivity, while ataxia is typical of demyelination but infrequently found in the former group.

- Overall, evidence from in vivo and in vitro and epidemiological studies suggests an association between RF-EMF exposure and either myelin deterioration or a direct impact on neuronal conduction, which may account for many electrohypersensitivity symptoms. The most vulnerable are likely to be those in utero through to at least mid-teen years, as well as ill and elderly individuals.


- Thus our findings indicate extensive neurodegeneration on exposure to radio waves. Increased production of reactive oxygen species due to exhaustion of enzymatic and non-enzymatic antioxidants and increased lipid peroxidation are indicating extensive neurodegeneration in selective areas of CA1, CA3, DG, and cerebral cortex. This extensive neuronal damage results in alterations in behavior related to memory and learning.


- A significant increase in albumin was found in the brains of the RF-exposed male rats when compared to sham-exposed male brains.
- Radio frequency radiation “at levels below the international limits can affect the vascular permeability in the brain of male rats. The possible risk of RFR exposure in humans is a major concern for the society.”

• A 50-minute cell phone exposure was associated with increased brain glucose metabolism in the region closest to the antenna.

Cognition/Memory


• the exposed group had dose responsive impaired neurologic transmission in the prefrontal cortex.
• the mice exposed in utero were hyperactive and had impaired memory.


• “Results showed significant impairment in cognitive function and increase in oxidative stress, as evidenced by the increase in levels of MDA (a marker of lipid peroxidation) and protein carbonyl (a marker of protein oxidation) and unaltered GSH content.
• “Low level MW radiation had significant effect on cognitive function and was also capable of leading to oxidative stress.”


• “Significant impairment in cognitive function and induction of oxidative stress in brain tissues of microwave exposed rats were observed.”
• Increased oxidative stress due to microwave exposure may contribute to cognitive impairment and inflammation in brain.”


• GSM exposed rats had impaired memory for objects and their temporal order of presentation, compared to sham exposed controls (P = 0.02).
• "Our results suggest significantly reduced memory functions in rats after GSM microwave exposure (P = 0.02)."


• A WiFi access point was 1.5 meters away during blind exposures.
In conclusion, the present findings suggest that Wi-Fi exposure may exert gender-related alterations on neural activity associated with the amount of attentional resources engaged during a linguistic test adjusted to induce Working Memory.

Behavior Issues:


- Mice that were exposed to radiation tended to be more hyperactive and had reduced memory capacity.
- Authors attributed the behavioral changes to an effect during pregnancy on the development of neurons in the prefrontal cortex region of the brain.


- The findings of the previous publication were replicated in this separate group of participants demonstrating that cell phone use was associated with behavioural problems at age 7 years in children, and this association was not limited to early users of the technology.


- Exposure to cell phones prenatally-and, to a lesser degree, postnatally-was associated with behavioral difficulties such as emotional and hyperactivity problems around the age of school entry.


- A cross-sectional study with 7720 middle school students assessed for inattention and information on Mobile phone use.
- Results: Inattention in adolescents was significantly associated with mobile phone (MP) ownership, the time spent on entertainment on MP per day, the position of the MP during the day and the mode of the MP at night.
- The strongest association between inattention and the time spent on the MP was among students who spent more than 60 minutes per day playing on their MP.


- Wistar rats (6-8 weeks old) were allotted into control, sham and exposed groups. Exposed group was exposed to 900 MHz of RF-EMR (1 hr/day with peak power density of 146.60 μW/cm2) from an activated GSM mobile phone for four weeks. On 29th day, behavioral analysis was done and later biochemical parameters were studied in amygdala, hippocampus, frontal cortex, and cerebellum.

- Altered behavioral performances were found in RF-EMR-exposed rats. Additionally, elevated TBARS
level was found with all brain regions studied. RF-EMR exposure significantly decreased TA in the amygdala and cerebellum but its level was not significantly changed in other brain regions. GST activity was significantly decreased in the hippocampus but, its activity was unaltered in other brain regions studied.

- Conclusion: "RF-EMR exposure for a month induced oxidative stress in rat brain, but its magnitude was different in different regions studied. RF-EMR-induced oxidative stress could be one of the underlying causes for the behavioral deficits seen in rats after RF-EMR exposure."

**Sleep**


- A prolonged latency to reach the first cycle of deep sleep (stage 3).
- The amount of stage 4 sleep was also decreased in exposed subjects.
- Subjects reported more headaches during exposures vs. sham exposure.


- Shortening of sleep onset latency and a REM (Rapid Eye Movement) suppressive effect with reduction of duration and percentage of REM sleep.
- “The effects observed possibly could be associated with alterations of memory and learning functions."


- Showed a dose-response relationship between EMF field intensity and its effects on brain physiology as demonstrated by changes in the sleep EEG and in cognitive performance.


- “Consistent with previous findings, our results provide further evidence that pulse-modulated RF EMF alter brain physiology”.
- Modulation frequency components within a physiological range may be sufficient to induce these effects.

**Oxidative Stress**

- Increases of cortisol and a higher concentration of alpha-amylase were detected in subjects under various EMF exposure scenarios.
- RF-EMF in considerably lower field densities than ICNIRP-guidelines may influence certain psychobiological stress markers.


- “EMR-induced oxidative stress in the brain and liver was reduced during the development of offspring. EMR could be considered as a cause of oxidative brain and liver injury in growing rats”.


- Significant increase in all salivary oxidative stress indices studied in mobile phone users.
- Salivary flow, total protein, albumin, and amylase activity were decreased in mobile phone users.
- “These observations lead to the hypothesis that the use of mobile phones may cause oxidative stress and modify salivary function.”


- Our results showed a significant increase in intracellular ROS levels after EMR exposure
- The percentage of late-apoptotic cells in the EMR-exposed group was significantly higher than that in the sham-exposed groups (p < 0.05).
- These results indicate that an 1800-MHz EMR enhances ROS formation and promotes apoptosis in NIH/3T3 cells.


- Review Paper: “In conclusion, the results of current studies indicate that oxidative stress from exposure to Wi-Fi and mobile phone-induced EMR is a significant mechanism affecting female and male reproductive systems.”


- “the whole-body 1800 MHz GSM-like RF radiation exposure may lead to oxidative destruction as being indicators of subsequent reactions that occur to form oxygen toxicity in tissues”

A “strong non-thermal character of biological effects of RFR has been documented” and “it is clear that the substantial overproduction of ROS in living cells under low intensity RFR exposure could cause a broad spectrum of health disorders and diseases, including cancer in humans."

**DNA Impacts**


“Exposure of developing quail embryos to extremely low intensity RF-EMR of GSM 900 MHz during at least one hundred and fifty-eight hours leads to a significant overproduction of free radicals/reactive oxygen species and oxidative damage of DNA in embryo cells. These oxidative changes may lead to pathologies up to oncogenic transformation of cells.”


“The wide frequency range of interaction with EMF is the functional characteristic of a fractal antenna, and DNA appears to possess the two structural characteristics of fractal antennas, electronic conduction and self symmetry. These properties contribute to greater reactivity of DNA with EMF in the environment, and the DNA damage could account for increases in cancer epidemiology, as well as variations in the rate of chemical evolution in early geologic history.”


Malondialdehyde and 8-hydroxy-2-deoxyguanosine levels of non-pregnant and pregnant radiofrequency exposed animals significantly increased compared with not-exposed controls.

The authors conclude, that 1800 MHz GSM-like radiofrequency exposure of non-pregnant and pregnant rabbits for seven days resulted in the release of secondary messengers, such as free radicals, leading to oxidative destruction in lipids and DNA.

Rabbits prenatally exposed to cell phone radiation developed more indicators of DNA damage such as greater amounts of free radicals.


Lipid peroxidation levels in the liver tissues of female and male infant rabbits increased under RF radiation exposure. Liver 8-hydroxy-2 ’-deoxyguanosine (8-OHdG) levels of female rabbits exposed to RF radiation were also found to increase when compared with the levels of non-exposed infants.

Conclusion: Consequently, it can be concluded that GSM-like RF radiation may induce biochemical
changes by increasing free radical attacks to structural biomolecules in the rabbit as an experimental animal model.


- Review: 101 publications reviewed on the genotoxicity of radiofrequency electromagnetic fields (RF-EMF) in vivo and in vitro. Of these 49 report a genotoxic effect and 42 do not. In addition, 8 studies failed to detect an influence on the genetic material, but showed that RF-EMF enhanced the genotoxic action of other chemical or physical agents...Taking altogether there is ample evidence that RF-EMF can alter the genetic material of exposed cells in vivo and in vitro and in more than one way. This genotoxic action may be mediated by microthermal effects in cellular structures, formation of free radicals, or an interaction with DNA-repair mechanisms.


- This study looked at the biological effects of whole-body 1800 MHz GSM-like radiofrequency (RF) radiation exposure on liver oxidative DNA damage and lipid peroxidation levels in nonpregnant, pregnant New Zealand White rabbits, and in their newly borns.
- Key Findings: In nonpregnant RF exposed and pregnant RF exposed malondialdehyde (MDA) and ferrous oxidation in xylenol orange (FOX) levels were increased compared to non pregnant controls.
- In this study, we found that whole-body 1800 MHz GSM-like RF exposure for 15 min/day for a week could affect lipid peroxidation by increasing MDA and FOX levels in nonpregnats and pregnant.
- “the whole-body 1800 MHz GSM-like RF radiation exposure may lead to oxidative destruction as being indicators of subsequent reactions that occur to form oxygen toxicity in tissues”


- “In this study, we exposed primary cultured cortical neurons to pulsed RF electromagnetic fields at a frequency of 1800 MHz modulated by 217 Hz at an average special absorption rate (SAR) of 2 W/kg. At 24 h after exposure, we found that RF radiation induced a significant increase in the levels of 8-hydroxyguanine (8-OHdG), a common biomarker of DNA oxidative damage, in the mitochondria of neurons. Concomitant with this finding, the copy number of mtDNA and the levels of mitochondrial RNA (mRNA) transcripts showed an obvious reduction after RF exposure. Each of these mtDNA disturbances could be reversed by pretreatment with melatonin, which is known to be an efficient antioxidant in the brain.
- These results suggested that 1800 MHz RF radiation could cause oxidative damage to mtDNA in primary cultured neurons. Oxidative damage to mtDNA may account for the neurotoxicity of RF radiation in the brain.”

- “In vitro study, a comet assay was used to determine whether 1.8-GHz radiofrequency radiation (RFR, SAR of 2W/kg) can influence DNA repair in human B-cell lymphoblastoid cells exposed to doxorubicin (DOX)
- DNA damage was detected at 0h, 6h, 12h, 18h and 24h after exposure to DOX via the comet assay, and the percent of DNA in the tail (% tail DNA) served as the indicator of DNA damage.
- The results demonstrated that (1) RFR could not directly induce DNA damage of human B-cell lymphoblastoid cells; (2) DOX could significantly induce DNA damage of human B-cell lymphoblastoid cells with the dose-effect relationship, and there were special repair characteristics of DNA damage induced by DOX; (3) E-E-E type (exposure to RFR for 2h, then simultaneous exposure to RFR and DOX, and exposure to RFR for 6h, 12h, 18h and 24h after exposure to DOX) combinative exposure could obviously influence DNA repair at 6h and 12h after exposure to DOX for four DOX doses (0.075microg/ml, 0.10microg/ml, 0.15microg/ml and 0.20microg/ml) in human B-cell lymphoblastoid cells.”

**Endocrine System**


- Significant decrease in the enzyme activities of glutathione peroxidase and superoxide dismutase, and an increase in catalase enzyme activity. Protein kinase C enzyme activity was significantly decreased in the samples of the exposed group (hippocampus and whole brain).
- A significant decrease in the level of pineal melatonin and a significant increase in creatine kinase and caspase 3 enzyme activities was observed in the exposed group (whole brain).
- A significant increase in the level of reactive oxygen species was also recorded.


- A significant decrease in the level of pineal melatonin of the exposed group.
- A significant increase in creatine kinase, caspase 3, and calcium ion concentration was observed in whole brain of exposed group of animals.
- The study concludes that a reduction in melatonin or an increase in caspase-3, creatine kinase, and calcium ion may cause significant damage in brain due to chronic exposure of these radiations. These biomarkers clearly indicate possible health implications of such exposures.


- TSH values and T3-T4 at the 900 MHz EMF group were significantly lower.
- These results indicate that 900 MHz EMF emitted by cellular telephones decrease serum TSH and
T3-T4 levels.


- Significant increases in caspase and creatine kinase.
- Significant decreases in testosterone and melatonin in the exposed groups.
- This finding emphasizes that reactive oxygen species (a potential inducer of cancer) are the primary cause of DNA damage. However, pulsed electromagnetic field exposure relieves the effect of microwave exposure by inducing Faraday currents.


- A higher than normal TSH level, low mean T4 and normal T3 concentrations were found in mobile users.
- “It may be concluded that possible deleterious effects of mobile microwaves on hypothalamic-pituitary-thyroid axis affects the levels of these hormones.”

Reviews of the Research:


- “Electromagnetic fields (EMF), in both ELF (extremely low frequency) and radio frequency (RF) ranges, activate the cellular stress response, a protective mechanism that induces the expression of stress response genes, e.g., HSP70, and increased levels of stress proteins, e.g., hsp70.
- While low energy EMF interacts with DNA to induce the stress response, increasing EMF energy in the RF range can lead to breaks in DNA strands. It is clear that in order to protect living cells, EMF safety limits must be changed from the current thermal standard, based on energy, to one based on biological responses that occur long before the threshold for thermal changes”.


- This review summarizes the evidence stating that excessive exposure to magnetic fields from power lines and other sources of electric current increases the risk of development of some cancers and neurodegenerative diseases, and that excessive exposure to RF radiation increases risk of cancer, male infertility, and neurobehavioral abnormalities.
- In summary, current extensive evidence shows that exposure to excessive levels of ELF and RF EMFs results in elevated rates of cancer and some other diseases, and such evidence is rapidly growing.


- A systematic review of published scientific studies.
• In about two third of the reviewed studies ecological effects of RF-EMF was reported at high as well as at low dosages.
• “We propose in future studies to conduct more repetitions of observations and explicitly use the available standards for reporting RF-EMF relevant physical parameters in both laboratory and field studies.”

• Recent analyses not considered in the IARC review find that brain tumor risk is significantly elevated for those who have used mobile phones for at least a decade. Studies carried out in Sweden indicate that those who begin using either cordless or mobile phones regularly before age 20 have greater than a fourfold increased risk of ipsilateral glioma.
• High resolution computerized models based on human imaging data suggest that children are indeed more susceptible to the effects of EMF exposure at microwave frequencies. If the increased brain cancer risk found in young users in these recent studies does apply at the global level, the gap between supply and demand for oncology services will continue to widen.
• Brain cancer is the proverbial "tip of the iceberg"; the rest of the body is also showing effects other than cancers.

Martin Pall. (2013). Electromagnetic fields act via activation of voltage-gated calcium channels to produce beneficial or adverse effects, Journal of Cellular and Molecular Medicine. 17(8), 958-65.
• This paper reviews 24 different studies in which EMF exposures produce biological effects that can be blocked by using calcium channel blockers, drugs that block the action of voltage-gated calcium channels (VGCCs).

• EMF exposures act by partially depolarizing the electrical charge across the plasma membrane of cells, activating the VGCCs and it is the increased intracellular calcium levels that are responsible for the reaction to EMF exposure.
• This review explains a mechanism for non-thermal biological effects from EMFs whereby voltage-gated calcium channels are opened up in the cell membrane, allowing calcium to leak into the cells.


• “The strongest microwave effects were always observed in stem cells. This result may suggest both significant misbalance in DSB repair and severe stress response. Our findings that stem cells are most sensitive to microwave exposure and react to more frequencies than do differentiated cells may be important for cancer risk assessment and indicate that stem cells are the most relevant cellular model for validating safe mobile communication signals.”


• Computer simulation using MRI scans of children is the only possible way to determine the microwave radiation (microwave radiation) absorbed in specific tissues in children.
Children absorb more microwave radiation than adults because their brain tissues are more absorbent, their skulls are thinner and their relative size is smaller.

International Cancer registries are showing increased incidence of Cancer. Because the average latency time between first exposure and diagnosis of a tumor can be decades, tumors induced in children may not be diagnosed until well into adulthood.

The fetus is particularly vulnerable to microwave radiation. Microwave radiation exposure can result in degeneration of the protective myelin sheath that surrounds brain neurons.

The 20 cm rule for tablets/laptops violate the “normal operating position” regulation.

Conclusions: Adolescent girls and women should not place cellphones in their bras or in hijabs. Selling toys that use wireless should be banned.

Government warnings have been issued but most of the public are unaware of such warnings. Exposure limits are inadequate and should be revised such that they are adequate.


The group reported that of 80 studies, they had assessed, 92.5 % (= 76 studies) confirmed that mechanism of inflicting damage. "Unexpectedly, a strong non-thermal character of biological effects" had been documented.

Low intensity radiofrequency radiation (RFR) emitted by mobile phone end-user devices "could lead to mutagenic effects through expressive oxidative damage of DNA".

"it is clear that the substantial overproduction of ROS in living cells under low intensity RFR exposure could cause a broad spectrum of health disorders and diseases, including cancer in humans. Undoubtedly, this calls for the further intensive research in the area, as well as to a precautionary approach in routine usage of wireless devices."